Nam	e
SAR	Assessment / Ramsey
	demonstrates good or adequate use of conventions (spelling, punctuation, grammar)
	too many errors ink conventions distract from your ideas and content
	SAR is in MLA format
	SAR is not in MLA format
	strong summary
	summary does not include author's full name and/or title of the essay (correctly punctuated) and/or summary misses some of the essay's main ideas
	strong analysis paragraph adequate analysis (but not great) analysis is missing some things; re-read the SAR handout
	good use of quotations as evidence
	quotations could be used more directly as evidence or they're not good examples of your point
	quotations are not correctly punctuated and/or cited
	strong personal reaction
	personal reaction could be stronger; it's not very interesting, insightful, and/or genuine
	strong sentence fluency
	sentences could be more fluid; they're too short and choppy
	good use of an academic tone
	tone and/or word choice is too casual
	SAR is a good length—thorough but concise
	SAR is too short, a bit TOO concise—needs more discussion, OR it's wordy and / or repetitive
	SAR indicates strong understanding of the essay
	SAR indicates adequate understanding of the essay
	SAR indicates lack of understanding of one or more parts of essay

\

Name SAR Assessment / Ramsey On a scale of 1-5, where 1 = not so good and 5 = spectacular, here's my assessment of your	
format	
summary	
identification of context	
identification of target audience	
identification of purpose(s)	
identification of organizational form	
identification of tone (with corresponding quotation)	
identification of 3 tools used to accomplish purpose (with corresponding quotation)	
use of quotations (choice of; clear tie to your point?)	
identification of thesis	
personal reaction	
sentence fluency	
academic tone	
thoroughness of analysis (enough discussion of essay or too little?)	