### **Safety Organized Practice**

### **PRACTICE PROFILES**

Developed by

Northern California Training Academy, Center for Human Services, University of California Davis

©2013

### **Workgroup Members:**

Holly Hatton, Ph.D., UC Davis

Melanie Schindell, UC Davis

Sophia Chin, LICSW, Massachusetts Child Welfare Institute

Mike Caslor, MSW, RSW, Building Capacity Consulting

Peter Pecora Ph.D., Casey Family Programs

David Plassman MSW, Fresno County, Children's Services

Heather Meitner, MSW, NCCD, Children's Research Center

The Northern California Training Academy would like to thank the many contributors and expert reviewers who contributed to the development of the SOP Practice Profiles.



| About the Safety Organized Practice (SOP) Practice Profiles | 3  |
|---|----|
| Safety Planning   | 4  |
| Integrating the Child's Perspective                         |    |
| Harm and Danger/Risk Statements                             | 13 |
| Safety Networks   | 18 |
| Safety Mapping  | 21 |

Practice profiles attempt to define the linear and gradual progression of skill acquisition as a practitioner integrates a particular practice into their work. The SOP practice profiles were developed with an understanding that skill acquisition in not a linear process and is impacted by a variety of external factors. The SOP practice profiles seek to define the *characteristics* of skill rather than the frequency in which tasks associated with the various skills and tools associated with SOP are completed. The intent of the SOP practice profiles is to assist practitioners assess their current skill and to help guide appropriate goal setting as they work to deepen their skills in the practice.

### **Growth Stages**

Skill accusation is broken down into three broad stages (emergent, accomplished and distinguished). Each level is further broken into three sublevels to account for the gradual nature of skill development.

- **Emergent Practice** (Built Infrastructure and Now Using): The practitioner has taken action to integrate the practice into their work at a basic level. Moved beyond the act of thinking about integrating the practice but is demonstrating behaviors to use the practice.
- Accomplished Practice (Gaining Consistency and Collaborating): The practitioner has moved beyond working independently on the practice area and has begun to involve others in the process with consistency.
- **Distinguished Practice** (Innovating and Sustaining): The practice is integrated in the practitioners' daily work with families and no longer perceives there are separate steps to be taken in implementing the practice. At the upper level of distinguished practice it is anticipated that the practitioner is fully engaged in the particular practice area and such integration is seamlessly integrated into daily work activities. Additionally, efforts may be made to advance the practice and/or find ways to sustain the practice.

The definition for each level of practice has been written to showcase what practice would look like at the highest point of each level. The following example for Safety Mapping illustrates how a practitioner would move from a low end of accomplished practice to the midpoint.

Example: A practitioner who's rating of "4" for the practice area Content might have the parents, the grandparents, and a neighbor at the meeting to participate in a Safety Mapping process. The practitioner would ask each person the three questions and include their perspective on the map before adding the agency's perspective. If they put each person's perspective in their own words, instead of paraphrasing them, it would move their rating up to a "5."

### Using the practice profiles to guide professional development goal setting

When setting goals for the practitioner or as part of a coaching process, it is key to remember this *gradual* progression. Development goals should be set to move from one step to the next, within practice levels, rather than from one practice level to the next. For example, as a practitioner you may choose a month long goal of moving from a "1" in "emergent practice" to a "3." At the end of the month, during a coaching session or alone, one may take a moment to reflect and assess the progress made. These are some of the questions to consider during this assessment process: *Were there challenges to overcome? What helped? Did other areas of practice benefit or falter because of the extra effort put into developing this skill?* New goals should be continuously set. These goals should be incremental, based on growth and take, into consideration unique situations.

For more information on the potential uses of the SOP practice profiles review SOP Practice Profile FAQs.

### **Practice Element**

### **Emergent Practice**

**Built Infrastructure and Now Using** 

### **Accomplished Practice**

**Gaining Consistency and Collaborating** 

### **Distinguished Practice**

Innovating and Sustaining

# **Safety Planning**

**Safety Plans** specifically address dangers the family and people identified in the safety network have agreed to resolve. The Safety Plan is the *method* of addressing the Danger Statement and *achieving* the Safety Goal.

| ty Planning | Purpose The depth of knowledge behind the reason for why the practice is used.   | process to a to the child   | afety Planning<br>ddress immed<br>and focuses o<br>fic actions to I | diate danger n the family | the parents, children and safety network as integral parts.  Describes Safety Planning as a process to address the Danger Statement and to achieve the safety goal.  Describes Safety Planning ongoing practice with the safety network throughout involvement with CPS.  Describes Safety Planning practice that is intended to child removals and increa reunification. |   |  |   |   | tiple afety has gas an family's ut family's gas a to prevent |
|-------------|--|---|---|---------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Safety      |  | 1   | 2   | 3                         | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8 | 9  |
| S           | Group Agreements Share understanding and agreement on how the stakeholders will work together to achieve the group's desired outcomes. | Actively checks for understanding and agreement among caregivers to the identified safety plan and goals. |   |                           | agreement a<br>network me<br>network will<br>child safety.<br>Actively uses<br>foster open  | d understand among all fam mbers on how work togethers group agreed discussion amork members. | ily safety v the er to ensure ments to long family | Uses group agreements to promote working relationships in which participants can share their individual contexts, perspectives and differences of opinion to inform the development of the safety plan. |   |  |
|             |  | 1   | 2   | 3                         | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8 | 9  |

| F                          | Practice Element  | Emergent Practice  Built Infrastructure and Now Using   |   |   | Accomplished Practice Gaining Consistency and Collaborating   |  |  | Distinguished Practice Innovating and Sustaining   |   |   |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| (continued)                | Stakeholders All interested parties with an investment in the child's safety.                                   | Creates a safety plan individually or in conjunction with their supervisor (coach).  Engages the family to reach agreement on implementing the safety plan. Understands how the absence of family members and stakeholders affects the safety planning process. |   |   | Includes the family in determining who will attend the safety planning meeting.  Develops a plan to include the perspectives and voices of missing participants.  Meets (or invites) other professionals to share information about the family and possible stakeholders to inform the safety plan. |  |  | Everything in accomplished practice, plus:  Ensures the voice of the child is clear, and when possible actively involves the child in the Safety Planning process.  Ensures the safety of the group by anticipating possible conflict among participants and setting up alternative ways of participating, i.e., telephone access. |   |   |
| ing                        |   | 1   | 2 | 3 | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8 | 9 |
| Safety Planning(continued) | Context The stakeholders' unique circumstances and perspectives which need to be considered in safety planning. | Has awareness that the caregivers and CPS may have conflicting priorities regarding the purpose and content of the safety plan.   |   |   | may get in t<br>fully in safet<br>Asks about t<br>systemic bar<br>group, parti-<br>safety network<br>Is aware that<br>group proce-<br>may have of   | pants about whe way of party planning. The individual rriers that chacularly the farty ork, in safety ses and that pather commitment to engage | and allenge the mily and planning. ning is a participants that | Brainstorms with family and safety network on how to mitigate individual and systemic barriers to the safety planning process.   |   |   |
|                            |   | 1   | 2 | 3 | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8 | 9 |

| Practice Element  | Emergent Practice  Built Infrastructure and Now Using                   |  |                                       | Accomplished Practice Gaining Consistency and Collaborating  |   |  | Distinguished Practice Innovating and Sustaining   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Safety Planning(continued)  Content The services and behaviors included in the safety plan. | services mig<br>family.<br>Discusses sp<br>caregivers a<br>may either p | ety plan to inf<br>ht be offered<br>ecific actions<br>nd safety networevent the re<br>promote reun | to the<br>by<br>work that<br>moval of | safety netwo<br>checks for u<br>agreement f<br>implementa<br>Checks for p<br>with safety p<br>modification<br>Comes prep<br>potential act<br>safety netwo<br>Uses the Da | y plan with chork and stake<br>nderstanding<br>for participati-<br>tion.<br>articipant's co-<br>plan and mak-<br>ns as necessar<br>ared with ide<br>tions the fam<br>ork can take.<br>Inger Stateme<br>to inform plan | holders and and on and ompliance e ry. as about ily and the nt and | <ul> <li>Formulate statement inform pla</li> <li>Ensure the and safety specific be</li> <li>Identify the if approprious afety plane</li> <li>Identify the group will safety plane</li> <li>Identify consteps for the the understandial participal planning proparticipant's plan.</li> <li>Checks in we appropriate understandial</li> </ul> | at the danger<br>goals are des<br>chavioral term<br>he child's role<br>iate.<br>he process by<br>test and mod | er coal to statement scribed in its. in the plan which the lify the cal next neet.  ment with the safety he ies in the see their ment with |  |
|   | 1   | 2  | 3                                     | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7  | 8   | 9  |  |

|                            | Desired Outcomes What will it look like when the child is safe? How will the stakeholders ensure the child is safe?                        | Built Infrastructure and Now Using  A plan that includes tasks for caregiver to keep their child safe.  Agreement by family members to implement plan.  when the |         |   |  | erstanding and er, safety and ech network me py of the safet ear and easy to ing what the nilld safety. | agreement cess and agreement risk level for ember will y plan o understand | Innovating and Sustaining  A safety plan with action steps and that is perceived by family safety network members as being meaningful and feasible within specific timeframes.  Shared understanding and agreement by all participants on their individual roles and responsibilities.  Shared understanding and agreement among network members of what it will look like when the children are safe and CPS can close the case. |                   |   |  |
|----------------------------|--|--|---------|---|--|---|--|---|-------------------|---|--|
| ρġ                         |  | 1  | 2       | 3 | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8                 | 9 |  |
| Safety Planning(continued) | Reflection Consideration of progress to date with regard to the working relationships among the stakeholders and the efficacy of the plan. | Assesses the family's capacity to comply with safety plan in supervision.  |         |   | on the merit<br>confidence i<br>the plan whi | ce for participa<br>cs of the plan a<br>n their ability to<br>the encouraging<br>how to make            | nd their<br>to implement<br>g the group                                    | Actively engages safety network members to critically evaluate their group process. Specifically focuses on ways to increase understanding, agreement and collaboration.  Assesses facilitation of group process in supervision.  Engages the safety network to describe how they will know the safety plan is effective.   |                   |   |  |
|                            |  | 1  | 2       | 3 | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8                 | 9 |  |
|                            | Next Steps An action plan for following up with families.  | Under deve   | lopment |   | Under deve                                   | lopment   |  | Under deve  | Under development |   |  |
|                            |  | 1  | 2       | 3 | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8                 | 9 |  |

|--|

### **Emergent Practice**

**Built Infrastructure and Now Using** 

### **Accomplished Practice**

Gaining Consistency and Collaborating

### **Distinguished Practice**

**Innovating and Sustaining** 

# Integration in the second seco

# Integrating the Child's Perspective

Two key principles in safety-organized practice are (1) the understanding that children likely witness much of what goes on in their families' lives and can contribute to a comprehensive understanding of what is currently happening in the family, and (2) the belief that children often can and need to collaborate with other stakeholders in their own safety planning. Therefore the extent to which a social worker can **incorporate the child's perspective** into their work is critical to successful child welfare practice.

| <b>O</b>        |  |
|-----------------|--|
| ă               |  |
| $\mathbf{Z}$    |  |
|                 |  |
| S               |  |
|                 |  |
| (I)             |  |
|                 |  |
|                 |  |
| IA              |  |
| - 4             |  |
| <b>O</b>        |  |
|                 |  |
|                 |  |
|                 |  |
|                 |  |
| a)              |  |
| $\mathbf{\Psi}$ |  |
|                 |  |
| 1               |  |
| <b>b</b> 6      |  |
| $\odot$         |  |
|                 |  |
| •=              |  |
| _               |  |
| Ø               |  |
| 5               |  |
| O.O             |  |
| a)              |  |
|                 |  |
|                 |  |
|                 |  |
|                 |  |

Purpose

The depth of knowledge

the practice is used.

behind the reason for why

| connections with the child. |
|-----------------------------|
|                             |

To build trust and positive

To gather information regarding current danger, safety and overall family functioning and inform casework processes.

To discuss with caregivers the impact of their actions on the child.

Everything in emergent practice, plus:

To engage caregivers and other stakeholders in building a shared understanding of the child's perspective relative to danger, safety and overall family functioning.

Build agreement on how the child's

Build agreement on how the child's perspective will inform next steps to increase safety, permanency and wellbeing

Everything in accomplished practice, plus:

Where appropriate, enable the child to share his or her perspective, experiences and hopes for the next steps to increase safety, permanency and well-being

Where appropriate enable the child's active involvement in key points of the casework and safety planning process.

1

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

| Prac         | tice Element   |                             | rgent Pra  |                         |  | <b>plished P</b> sistency and C   |   |   | guished P   |  |
|--------------|--|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| sha agr stal | oup Agreements cactions relative to having red understanding and eement on how keholders will work ether to achieve the up's desired outcomes. | and agreem<br>caregivers re | cks for undersent with the cegarding the personner of incorerspective. | hild and<br>ourpose and | Builds share agreement of eliciting his specifically funder what information shared under among famion how ever to elicit the incorporate increase safe well-being. Actively use agreements among care members reperspective their work to | d understand with the child is perspective focusing on he circumstance will be shared by and other stryone will worchild's perspethis in the platety, permaners these group to foster ope givers and net garding the cland how this o increase safe and well-beit with the platety of the cland how this or increase safe and well-beit mitted. | ing and on process e, ow and s this d. Builds d agreement takeholders rk together ective; an to ncy and  n discussion twork hild's impacts ety, | plus: Uses group working rela participants contexts, pe differences child's persy hopes. Where appr agreements environmer | agreements to<br>ationships in v<br>can share the<br>erspectives an<br>of opinion reg<br>pective, exper<br>opriate, uses<br>to promote a<br>it in which the<br>rspective, exp | o promote which eir individual d garding the iences and group a safe e child can |
| Inte         |  | 1                           | 2  | 3                       | 4  | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9  |

| F   | Practice Element   | Emergent Practice  Built Infrastructure and Now Using |  |                 | Accomplished Practice Gaining Consistency and Collaborating  |  |   | Distinguished Practice Innovating and Sustaining   |   |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|-----------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| :pective(continued)                           | perspective to inform assessment, planning and decision making   |   |  |                 |  | Everything in emergent practice, plus: Works with family to identify other individuals or organizations that have an investment in including the child's perspective to inform next steps to increase safety, permanency and well-being. Work to include these individuals or organizations in the planning and/or decision-making process |   |  | Everything in accomplished practice, plus:  When appropriate and possible actively include the child in the next steps to increase safety, permanency and well-being.  Ensures the safety of the group by anticipating possible conflict among participants and setting up alternative ways of participating, i.e., telephone access. |   |  |
| . Pers  |  | 1   | 2  | 3               | 4  | 5  | 6   | 7  | 8   | 9 |  |
| Integrating the Childs Perspective(continued) | Context CPS actions relative to the stakeholders' unique circumstances and perspectives which need to be considered. | caregivers as conflicting p                           | ess that the cl<br>nd CPS may h<br>riorities regar<br>the child's pe<br>k process. | ave<br>ding the | stakeholder<br>get in the w<br>perspective<br>increasing c<br>Asks about t<br>systemic bal<br>them, partic | the individual rriers that chacularly the fanork, in includi   | things may<br>g the child's<br>s of<br>and<br>allenge<br>nily and | Everything in accomplished practice, plus:  Brainstorms with child, family and safety network to mitigate individual and systemic barriers to including the child's perspective, experience and hopes. |   |   |  |
|   |  | 1   | 2  | 3               | 4  | 5  | 6   | 7  | 8   | 9 |  |

| Tractice Element                              |   |   | Emergent Practice Built Infrastructure and Now Using  |                               |  | Accomplished Practice Gaining Consistency and Collaborating |   |   | Distinguished Practice Innovating and Sustaining |   |  |
|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| Integrating the Child's erspective(continued) | Content CPS actions necessary to incorporating the child's perspective.   | techniques a<br>Houses tool,<br>elicit the chi<br>especially or<br>• What<br>• What | ty of interview<br>and tools, (e.g<br>Safety House<br>Id's perspection<br>are the worrion<br>s working we<br>needs to hap | e, Al, SF) to ve focusing es? | the child to harrow the rocas  |   |   | Everything in accomplished practice, plus:  Where appropriate facilitates the child's active participation in key points of the casework process. |  |   |  |
| bū  |   | 1   | 2   | 3                             | 4  | 5   | 6 | 7   | 8  | 9 |  |
| Integrating<br>Perspective                    | The child feels his perspective has been heard by CPS.  An understanding of the child's perspective to inform casework process and decision-making.  Shared understanding of the child's perspective.  Shared understanding of the child's perspective with the caregiver |   |   |                               | Everything in emergent practice, plus:  Shared understanding with all stakeholders on the child's perspective on danger, safety and risk. Shared agreement on how this will inform the next steps to increase safety, permanency and well-being  Everything in accomplished practic plus:  The child feels that his perspective and hopes are heard by the stakeholders and that he made a contribution to increase his or her safety. |   |   |   |  |   |  |
|   |   | 1   | 2   | 3                             | 4  | 5   | 6 | 7   | 8  | 9 |  |

| itinued)       |
|----------------|
| <b>ve</b> (cor |
| erspecti       |
| Child's P      |
| ting the (     |
| Integrat       |

| Perspective(continued) | Reflection Consideration of progress to date with regard to the working relationships among the stakeholders to incorporating the child's perspective. | the purpose<br>process to e<br>his/her pers<br>Assesses fan<br>understand<br>and develop | hild's underst<br>and outcome<br>licit and incor<br>pective.<br>nily's capacity<br>the child's pe<br>insight on ho | es of the porate to rspective ow their | and other pathe impact of child's perspiconfidence inform what Encourage to | ce for the child<br>articipants to<br>of incorporation<br>bective and the<br>in their ability<br>in needs to hap<br>the group to re<br>the process | focus on and the left of the left of the left on left | other particities evaluate the child's procuses on with understandicular collaboration. Assesses faction supervision. Engages the how they with the child's participant of the children of the | ages the child ipants to critic process of in erspective. Sprays to increating, agreement moving forwill tation of groun.  participants to the child's perspective child's perspective criticipants to the child's perspective. | cally corporating pecifically se t and ward. Dup process to describe have |
|------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| Child's F              |  | 1  | 2  | 3                                      | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7  | 8   | 9   |
| Integrating the Chi    | Next Steps An action plan for following up with families   | n action plan for following  |  |  |   |  | ractice, <i>plus</i> :<br>givers and<br>ely<br>spective into<br>anning  | plus:<br>Where approchild's conti  | n accomplishe<br>opriate, facili<br>nued involver<br>setting and pla  | rates the<br>nent in the  |
| Inte                   |  | 1  | 1 2 3  |  |   | 5  | 6   | 7  | 8   | 9   |

# Harm and Danger/Risk Statements

### **Practice Element**

### **Emergent Practice**

**Built Infrastructure and Now Using** 

### **Accomplished Practice**

Gaining Consistency and Collaborating

### **Distinguished Practice**

**Innovating and Sustaining** 

# Harm and Danger/Risk Statements

Harm statements and danger statements or risk of danger are short, simple behavior-based statements which can be used to help family members, collaterals and staff working with the family become very clear about what has happened in the past, why CWS are involved with families and what CWS staff worry may happen in the future. They lay the groundwork for the important 'difficult conversations' to occur and help ensure that we are talking about the most important things to address with the families with whom we work.

Purpose

The depth of knowledge behind the reason for why the practice is used.

Describes Harm and Danger Statements as key elements of Safety Mapping that are about what happened to the child that brought them to the attention of CPS and about what might happen in the future. Describes Harm and Danger Statements as key elements of Safety Mapping that have clear and specific statements about the harm to the child in the care of the parents.

Describes the Harm Statement as having the following 3 distinct components:

- 1) Who reported ("or it was reported that...").
- 2) What happened to the child, where, when, etc.
- 3) The impact it had on the child.

Describes the Danger Statement as having the following 3 distinct components:

- "CPS is worried that ... (or the SW and other professionals or others in the family network are worried that...).
- 2) Behavior/action of the parents (what parents might do).
- Possible impact on the child (what we are worried may

Describes Harm and Danger
Statements as key elements of Safety
Mapping that have the most meaning
when they are developed in the
Safety Mapping process with the
family, network and other
professionals to achieve a shared
understanding of the worries about
danger and the reason for CPS
involvement with the family.

| P                     | ractice Element  | Emergent Practice  Built Infrastructure and Now Using |  |                 |  | <b>plished P</b> asistency and C   |   | Distinguished Practice Innovating and Sustaining  |  |   |  |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|-----------------|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| Statements(continued) |  |   |  |                 | may had Describes the and Danger to clearly id actions that the child so understands | n to the child, appen to the cone developments and statements and entify the care have a harms that everyones the worries the reason for the cone of t | hild again) nt of Harm s important egiver ful effect on e about |   |  |   |  |
| temei                 |  | 1   | 2  | 3               | 4  | 5  | 6   | 7   | 8  | 9   |  |
| Harm and Danger Stat  | Group Agreements CPS actions relative to having shared understanding and agreement on how stakeholders will work together to achieve the group's desired outcomes. | engage care process and                               | ind Danger St<br>givers in the o<br>checks for th<br>ng and agreei<br>nents. | casework<br>eir | family, and p  | n discussion a<br>professionals<br>d Danger Stat   | regarding   | other staked<br>mapping pro<br>and Danger<br>Uses group a<br>working rela<br>participants<br>contexts, pe | family, their includers in the ocess to developed Statements. agreements to ationships in which can share the erspectives and or inform the coments. | safety op Harm o promote which eir individual d differences |  |
|                       |  | 1   | 2  | 3               | 4  | 5  | 6   | 7   | 8  | 9   |  |

| P                     | Practice Element   |   | rgent Pra   |  |   | plished P   |   | Distinguished Practice Innovating and Sustaining |  |                                 |  |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Statements(continued) | Stakeholders CPS actions to engage individuals or organizations with an investment in the desired outcomes.          | Statements<br>in consultati<br>identify harr<br>Shares state  | arm and Dang<br>as an individu<br>ion with a sup<br>m and danger<br>ements with ca<br>for understand                  | al activity or<br>ervisor to<br>aregivers                                | stakeholders in the child's safety.  Develops a plan to share the Harm and Danger Statements with the family, safety network and professionals.  Ensures the safety of the group by anticipating possible conflict among participants and setting up alternative ways of participating, i.e., telephone access. |   |   |  |  | y involves                      |  |
| taten                 |  | 1   | 2   | 3  | 4 5 6   |   |   | 7  | 8  | 9                               |  |
| Harm and Danger S     | Context CPS actions relative to the stakeholders' unique circumstances and perspectives which need to be considered. | demands wi<br>develop Har<br>in the course<br>investigation<br>Has awarene<br>and CPS may<br>priorities reg | ern that other II impact the am and Danger of an assessin.  ess that the cay have conflict garding the puand Danger S | ability to<br>r Statements<br>ment or<br>aregivers<br>ting<br>urpose and | systemic bar<br>family and p<br>understandi<br>Harm and D<br>Is aware tha<br>other comm   | the individual rriers that cha professional's, ng and agreei anger statement participants litments that reach agreeme | llenge the ng with the ents. may have make it | network on individual at the group's understand  | with family a<br>how to mitigand systemic b<br>reaching shar<br>ing and agree<br>ne Harm and D | ate<br>arriers to<br>ed<br>ment |  |
|                       | 1 2 3  |   |   |  | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7  | 8  | 9                               |  |

| P                     | ractice Element  |   | rgent Pra   |   |  | <b>plished P</b> nsistency and C   |  | •   | guished P<br>vating and Susta  |  |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Statements(Continued) | Content CPS actions necessary to achieve the desired outcomes. | an individua<br>consultatior<br>identify harr<br>Preliminary<br>include clini   | and Danger Stal activity or in with a super mand danger Harm Statem cal, profession caregiver actione child.                  | visor to<br>c.<br>ents often<br>nal language  | Danger Stat<br>network and<br>include all v<br>harm to the<br>CPS involved<br>Statements<br>behavioral of                                  | preliminary H ements with t d other profes oices in descri child and the ment. begin to refle descriptions of the impact or  | he family,<br>sionals to<br>bing the<br>reason for<br>ct the<br>f caregiver            | <ul> <li>Formul<br/>Danger</li> <li>Ensure<br/>describ<br/>terms.</li> <li>Identify<br/>steps for</li> <li>Actively che<br/>understandi<br/>participants<br/>safety mapp<br/>next steps.</li> <li>Checks in wi<br/>appropriate<br/>understandi</li> </ul> | group process ate shared Ha Statement. that statemer ed in specific concrete logor the group to cks for shareding and agreer regarding the ing process and the child (a) to determine and agreer ed Danger states. | rm and  its are behavioral  istical next o meet.  ment with all iterative and identified  is their ment with |
| er                    |  | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8  | 9  |
| Harm and Danger       | Desired Outcomes The results from implementing the practice.   | describes the and worries network and there is a close CPS is involved family.  Harm and Description the platform Goals (what | Danger State to the family, do ther profese ear understanded with the common for developing it needs to look or case closure. | y threats their sionals so ding of why hild and ent becomes ng the Safety ok like for | has been de<br>network and<br>includes a de<br>that resulted<br>behaviors ar<br>worries abo<br>The network<br>the Harm an<br>co-develop to | Danger States veloped with d other profes escription of t d from the car nd the stakeho ut future harn k then collabo nd Danger Stat the Safety Goz ety Plan (how) | the family, sionals that he harm egiver's olders' n. rates to use tement to als (what) |   |  |  |
|                       |  | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8  | 9  |

| F                     | Practice Element  |   | rgent Pra   |   |  | <b>plished P</b> nsistency and C   |  | Distinguished Practice Innovating and Sustaining   |  |   |  |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Statements(Continued) | Reflection Consideration of progress to date with regard to the working relationships among the stakeholders to achieving the desired outcomes.   | Statement is may have ha happen in the changes. Theories about Statements a supervisor of | ary Harm and<br>sheld as a the<br>appened and v<br>se future if no<br>out the Harm<br>are discussed<br>r other profes | ory of what<br>what could<br>thing<br>and Danger<br>with<br>ssionals. | refined with more concredescriptions occurred. Worker invitand is more alternative thave happen have occurred. | nd Danger Sta<br>the family to<br>ete behavioral<br>s of what spec<br>tes others per<br>open to exploreasons the ha<br>ned or that it<br>ed as originall | reflect ifically spectives oring arm may may not y suspected.      | all stakehold critically thin understandi the Harm and Stakeholder how not just also the hist contributes be about fut |  | s that led to<br>sement.<br>ced view of<br>f harm, but<br>ion,<br>d we should |  |
| tate                  |   | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9   |  |
| and Danger            | Uses Harm and Danger Statement individually to focus the harm and danger to the child and determine next steps in service planning and provision.  Follows up with caregivers to attempt to the needs of the child. |   |   |   | the context<br>engage the<br>professiona<br>concrete, m<br>Plan) that, v                                       | nd Danger Sta<br>of Safety Map<br>family, their n<br>Is in the devel<br>easurable act<br>when demonst<br>nsure child saf                                 | oping to<br>etwork and<br>opment of<br>ions (Safety<br>crated over | the context<br>the family, t<br>professional<br>understandi  | ind Danger Sta<br>of Safety Map<br>heir network a<br>s develop a cl<br>ng of what it i<br>CPS to be sati<br>afe. | ping so that<br>and other<br>ear<br>needs to                                  |  |
| Harm                  |   | to the needs of the child.  1 2 3   |   |   |  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9   |  |

# **Safety Networks**

### **Practice Element**

### **Emergent Practice**

**Built Infrastructure and Now Using** 

### **Accomplished Practice**

Gaining Consistency and Collaborating

### **Distinguished Practice**

**Innovating and Sustaining** 

## **Safety Networks**

Building **Safety Networks** – The axiom that "it takes a village to raise a child" is never truer in child welfare work than when caregivers have been found to be a danger to their children. Signs of Safety, drawing on much of the wisdom of the Family Group Conferencing (FGC) movement, offers strategies for building a 'network' of people around the child, communicating the danger to them and enlisting their help in keeping the child safe. By rigorously asking about formal and informal networks and using our power constructively, the family and community can become members of an expanded safety network that helps enhance safety for children. The safety network includes a group of family, friends, and professionals who care about the child, are willing to meet with CWS, understand the harm/danger concerns CWS and others have, and are willing to do something that supports the family and helps to keep the child safe.

| Purpose The depth of knowledge behind the reason for why the practice is used.   |            | Safety Network of Support of Supp |           | of individual  | Safety Networ<br>s who would vase child safet  | work with  | Describes a Safety Network as a group of individuals who are invested in ensuring a child's safety and collaborate to identify safety goals, create a safety plan and actively implement the plan. |   |  |  |
|--|------------|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
|  | 1          | 2  | 3         | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7 8 9  |   |  |  |
| Group Agreements Child Protective Services actions relative to having shared understanding and agreement on how stakeholders will work together to achieve the | parameters | caregiver of the within which (see with these in the see in the se | CPS would | agreement a<br>network me<br>network will<br>child safety.<br>Actively uses<br>foster open | d understand among all fam mbers on how work together group agreed discussion amork members. | ily safety v the er to ensure ments to nong family | plus: Uses group a working relaparticipants thoughts, fe   | n accomplishe<br>agreements to<br>itionships in w<br>can share the<br>elings and opi<br>ease child safe | promote<br>which<br>ir individual<br>nions about |  |
| group's desired outcomes.  | 1          | 2  | 3         | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7 8 9  |   |  |  |

| P                          | ractice Element  |   | rgent Pra  |   |  | <b>plished P</b>  |  |                                     | guished P   |                                  |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| ontinued)                  | Stakeholders Child Protective Services actions to engage individuals or organizations with an investment in creating a strong safety network for the family. | o identify upportive of   | who will att meeting.  Meets (or into discuss the network and Ensures the and when pothe child in members                        | e family in determined the safety ovites) other pheir inclusion id who else to voice of the cossible activelidentifying saf | rofessionals<br>n the safety<br>include.<br>hild is clear,<br>y involves | plus: Builds share caregivers o membership Promotes all involvement family, neigh     | ed agreement on the network on the network on the network on the network of the network of friends, exhibors and other members of the network | with oports the stended er informal |   |                                  |
| Safety Networks(continued) | Context Child Protective Services actions relative to the stakeholders' unique circumstances and perspectives which need to                                  | Protective S conflicting p identificatio                                      | t caregivers a ervices may heriorities regain of possible imbers and thing.  | ave<br>rding<br>safety  | about all the  | 5<br>nily and stake<br>e challenges ir<br>fety network                                | holders<br>n identifying   | Brainstorms<br>stakeholder          | with family a son how to real of creating a co          | nd<br>emove the                  |
| Ž                          | be considered.   | 1   | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7                                   | 8   | 9                                |
|                            | Content Child Protective Services actions necessary to help the family develop/identify a safety network.  | friends who<br>supports to<br>Safety Circle<br>to expand the<br>caregivers to | vers for a list of<br>are past and<br>their family.<br>es activity with<br>he safety netwon<br>o critically thi<br>may be includ | current<br>Uses the<br>n caregiver<br>vork. Helps<br>nk through   | network to inetwork me Facilitates anetwork me to build sha              | dialogue amo<br>embers and th<br>red understan<br>on the safety i<br>ponsibilities in | ong all safety<br>e caregivers<br>ading and<br>network's   | plus: Actively see building a co    | ks to remove omprehensive safety networ of the safety I | parriers to<br>and<br>k as a key |
|                            |  | 1   | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7                                   | 8   | 9                                |

| F                         | Practice Element  |                           | rgent Pra                           |               |   | nplished P  |  | -   | guished P<br>vating and Sust   |   |  |
|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| (p                        | <u>Desired Outcomes</u> The results from identifying the family's safety network.   |                           | ntial individua<br>icipate in a fan | •             | support the<br>Understandi<br>safety netwo                  | from the safety<br>caregiver's saf-<br>ing and agreem<br>ork on their res<br>nt the safety pl | ety plan.<br>nent from the<br>sponsibilities | Shared understanding and agreement among the safety network members regarding group agreements, next steps and their mutual roles in increasing child safety.  The safety network implements the plan and is able to follow through on their roles. |  |   |  |
| nne                       |   | 1                         | 2                                   | 3             | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8  | 9   |  |
| Safety Networks(continued | Reflection Consideration of progress to date with regard to the working relationships among the stakeholders to developing a safety network | Assesses the safety netwo | family's capac<br>ork.              | ity use their | strength of t<br>their concer                               | egivers to evalu<br>their safety net<br>ns and identific<br>the members.                      | work. Elicits es the                         | critically eval<br>Elicits from t<br>network as v   | e for network<br>luate their rela<br>hem the stren<br>vell as its chall<br>ways to addre   | itionships.<br>gths of their<br>enges.  |  |
| Š                         | developing a safety network   | 1                         | 2                                   | 3             | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8  | 9   |  |
| Safety Net                | Next Steps An action plan for following up with families.   |                           | t one follow up<br>ind safety netv  | _             | network reg<br>plan is adeq<br>safety needs<br>Follows up v | vith caregivers<br>safety networl   | mine if the he child's to determine          | the understal is a process a ongoing asset (linking with Checks in with to determine plan and acti Schedules sunecessary to the continuir   | the safety net<br>inding that the<br>and there is a ressment of its e<br>desired outco<br>th the child and<br>their comfort<br>ons of the safe<br>beequent meet<br>review the safe<br>groles of the<br>heck for comple plan. | e safety plan<br>need for<br>effectiveness<br>mes).<br>d the family<br>with the<br>ety network.<br>etings when<br>fety plan and<br>safety |  |
|                           |   | 1                         | 2                                   | 3             | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8  | 9   |  |

### **Emergent Practice**

**Practice Element** 

**Built Infrastructure and Now Using** 

### **Accomplished Practice**

**Gaining Consistency and Collaborating** 

### **Distinguished Practice**

**Innovating and Sustaining** 

# **Safety Mapping**

**Safety Mapping** is a process of dialogue and inquiry designed to help social workers, families and extended networks work together to surface the different aspects of danger and safety present in the family and move toward group agreements about what needs to happen next to ensure the safety of the child.

### next to ensure the safety of the child. Describes Safety Mapping as a tool to Describes Safety Mapping as a tool to Everything in accomplished practice organize information about danger organize information about danger :sula and safety into three categories: and safety into an assessment and Describes safety mapping as a process What are we worried about? planning process that maps the harm, of building shared understanding and danger, complicating factors, and What's working well? agreement among all stakeholders re: Safety Mapping existing safety and strengths. Also, the danger, safety, and risk to the What are the next steps? displays an integration of mapping child. with other decision support tools (SDM, CAT, others) to develop rigorous Safety Goals and Safety Plans. Describes Safety Mapping as a **Purpose** collaborative tool that can be used The depth of knowledge with families and their network to behind the reason for why develop a relationship of trust and the practice is used. gain their perspective on the events that brought CPS into their lives and to help them reach their goals. Describes Safety Mapping as an approach to assessment and safety planning that focuses the conversation, assessment and planning on child safety and the impact of the caregiver's actions. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

|                           | Practice Element   |   | rgent Pra  |  |   | plished P  |   | •  | guished P  |  |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| continued)                | Group Agreements CPS actions relative to building shared understanding and agreement on how stakeholders will work together to achieve the group's desired outcomes. | group agree<br>provide dire   | out a series o<br>ments design<br>ction on how<br>er during the                        | ed to help<br>people will                          | agreements group agree  | ne pre-made<br>but also strive<br>ments from the<br>ty network me<br>e meeting.  | es to elicit<br>ne family   | plus: Takes time to behind having uses question develop the agreements a major area. Where approagreements a safe environments.                          | o explain the ng group agreens to help the majority of the worker adds to being mission particular to ment in whe sperspective, and hopes.             | purpose ements and e family ne s only when sed. group to promote ich the child                                   |
| <b>6</b> 0                |  | 1   | 2<br>fety map indiv  | vidually with                                      | 4<br>Prior to the   | 5<br>mapping, wor  | 6<br>ker has  | 7 8 9  Everything in accomplished practice   |  |  |
| Safety Mapping(continued) | Stakeholders CPS actions to engage individuals or organizations with an investment in the desired outcomes.  | a single clier<br>with a super<br>setting.<br>Understands<br>family mem | nt or solely in<br>rvisor or coach<br>s how the abs<br>bers and stake<br>afety mapping | conjunction<br>in an office<br>ence of<br>eholders | discussed wi<br>importance<br>participation<br>in determini<br>who particip<br>works to inc<br>person in the<br>plan to inclu<br>voices of mis<br>Meets (or in<br>to share info | of having some and includes ong who the potential with the lude at least compared the perspension participation about stakeholders | the ne network the family eople will be family one other velops a ectives and ents. | plus: Outreach had members be to help then purpose/produced the network session. Ensures the and when puthe child. Ensures the anticipating participants | is been done to be selected the map of the map of the map of the map of the cossible active safety of the possible confiand setting unicipating, i.e., | to network ping session the apping. by members oping hild is clear, y involves group by lict among p alternative |
|                           |  | 1   | 2  | 3  | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7  | 8  | 9  |

|                           | Practice Element   |  | rgent Pra  |   |  | plished P  |  |   | guished P  |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Safety Mapping(continued) | Context CPS actions relative to the stakeholders' unique circumstances and perspectives which need to be considered. | Has awarene<br>and CPS may   | ess that the cay have conflict garding respon  | aregivers<br>ting   | Asks about s<br>barriers that<br>particularly<br>network, in   | systemic and i<br>t challenge the<br>the family and<br>their active in<br>mapping pro  | ndividual<br>e group,<br>d safety<br>volvement                         | Everything in accomplished practice plus:  Brainstorms with family and safety network to mitigate individual and systemic barriers to including the child's perspective, experience and hopes.  Actively names differences of race class, gender, power and seeks to identify ways these differences coube strengths or barriers. Loops bat to agreements if they are needed if there are concerns that need to be addressed. |  |  |
| ing                       |  | 1  | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8  | 9  |
| Safety Mapp               | Content CPS actions necessary to achieve the desired outcomes.   | primarily as organize cas identify dang Organizes in is known in asks very few the room parapping.  Sorts items of facilitators of the sorts items | ety Mapping I an individual a e information ger and safety formation threferral document questions of the group in color of the grou | activity to<br>and<br>dough what<br>nent alone,<br>f people in<br>the<br>an | network and includes all vilevel of dang family. Uses solution questions to details, and in critical this actions that Pairs Safety with other fotools (i.e., SI | Mapping with dother profest voices to describe and safety n-focused and gather behavior help the granking about simpact the champing informal decision DM, CAT) to funk and make | sionals and cribe the vin the dother vioral coup engage specific hild. | plus: Regularly us and languag clear. Helps the grathey set out conversation Makes use cagreements  | n accomplished acc | s own words<br>e the points<br>the goals<br>rpose" |
|                           |  | 1  | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8  | 9  |

|                           | Practice Element  | Eme  | rgent Pra  | ctice           | Accom  | plished P  | ractice  | Disting  | guished P   | ractice  |  |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
|                           | Tractice Element  | Built Infra  | structure and N  | Now Using       | Gaining Cor  | nsistency and C  | Collaborating  | Innov  | ating and Sust  | aining   |  |
| Safety Mapping(continued) | Desired Outcomes The results from implementing the practice.  | individual ac<br>understand  | Mapping primativity to bette<br>the danger, fu<br>ty, and the st | er<br>Iture and | network and shared under danger and shout why the CPS, they can initial plans next to incretthat will lead | ping with the digrofessional erstanding of safety in the fame is on the safety in the family is in begin to make ase immediad into case plat for ongoing | s leads to<br>the level of<br>family.<br>ame page<br>evolved in<br>ake some<br>ds to happen<br>te safety | The family and network has a comprehensive understanding of the level of danger and safety in the family and is able to carry that understanding forward to improve the situation.  The group will set a time to meet to continue the process with a plan to build up their network and include the voice of the children before the next meeting date. The family clearly understands the "rolling agenda" an agrees to participate until the goals are accomplished. |   |  |  |
| Ma                        |   | 1  | 2  | 3               | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8   | 9  |  |
| Safety                    | Reflection Consideration of progress to date with regard to the working relationships among the stakeholders to achieving the desired outcomes. | nsideration of progress to te with regard to the orking relationships nong the stakeholders to hieving the desired |  |                 |  |  | ants to<br>e Safety Map<br>oup to<br>ne process  | members to<br>group proce<br>ways to incr<br>agreement a<br>forward.   | ages safety no<br>critically eval<br>ss. Specifically<br>ease understand<br>and collaborate<br>ilitation of gro | uate their<br>/ focuses on<br>inding,<br>ion going |  |
|                           |   | 1  | 2  | 3               | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8   | 9  |  |

|                           | Practice Element  | Eme  | rgent Pra   | ctice   | Accom   | plished P                         | ractice   | Disting  | guished P  | ractice   |
|---------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
|                           | Tractice Element  | Built Infra  | structure and N   | Now Using   | Gaining Con   | sistency and Co                   | ollaborating  | Innov  | ating and Susta  | aining  |
| Safety Mapping(continued) | Next Steps The ability to which the desired outcomes are utilized for subsequent case activities. | from the Saf<br>plan solely b<br>sense of wha<br>happen.<br>Use Safety N<br>primarily a li<br>be offered to<br>of them.<br>Follows up w<br>with question | information of ety Mapping ased on the fat would be go Mapping to infect of services to the family of the child and for clarification of the child. | to develop a acilitators cod to see form that might rexpected and family ation. | family, their professional understandid behavioral commote chi and their ne Considers seresources all what needs Guides the p | rvice provisio<br>key part of a p | ecific<br>ecessary to<br>ne parents<br>n and family<br>plan for<br>elop a | engage the sprofessional use the info create safet. In which saf measurable, can be democrated that the sures that key activities. | fety Mapping programily, their now s. Facilitates to the remation gather y goals and a section goals are constrated over the y matter they understated to participate section and the participate sect | etwork and he group to ered to safety plan. concrete, ctions that r time. |
| Saf                       |   | 1  | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5                                 | 6   | 7  | 8  | 9   |