September 16, 2011

COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 11/12-18

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS<br>ALL COUNTY WELFARE FISCAL OFFICERS<br>ALL COUNTY PROBATION OFFICERS<br>ALL ADOPTIONS SUPERVISORS<br>BOARD OF SUPERVISORS<br>COUNTY CAPIT/CBCAP LIAISONS<br>CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION COUNCILS<br>THE CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE OF CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES<br>THE CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES FOUNDATION<br>CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES COMMUNITY CARE LICENSING COUNTY LIASONS<br>CALIFORNIA STATE CARE PROVIDERS ASSOCIATION

SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2011-12 REALIGNMENT 2011: THE PERCENTAGE CALCULATION FOR THE PROGRAMS IMPACTED BY ASSEMBLY BILL (AB) 118 (CHAPTER 40, STATUTES OF 2011) AND ABX1 16 (BLUMENFIELD)

With respect to the California Department of Social Services (CDSS), effective July 1, 2011, AB 118 realigns the funding for Adoption Services; Foster Care (FC); Child Welfare Services (CWS); Adult Protective Services (APS); and the Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention, and Treatment (CAPIT) program from the state to local governments and redirects specified tax revenues to fund this effort.

For California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) assistance, AB 118 directs funds that otherwise would be deposited into the Mental Health subaccount under Chapter 89 of the Statutes of 1991 (1991-92 Realignment), to be deposited in the new CalWORKs Maintenance of Effort subaccount for counties to pay an increased contribution toward the CalWORKs assistance grants. Information on the CalWORKs assistance component of AB 118 will be provided in a subsequent CFL.

AB 118 establishes the Health and Human Services Account within the Local Revenue Fund 2011, which contains program subaccounts for CDSS and the Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs. Consistent with 1991-92 Realignment, the revenues will be deposited monthly into the new Local Revenue Fund 2011 from September 2011
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through August 2012. This CFL provides information on subaccount distribution only for the programs administered by CDSS.

The implementation of $A B 118$ will result in changes to the allocations, cash advance, County Expense Claim (CEC), Assistance Claim (CA 800), and fund distribution processes. The CDSS will still remain the single state agency for the administration of the federal funds (FF). Further, the Department of Finance (DOF) is required to provide a county distribution schedule for each program's subaccount to the State Controller's Office, which is done in coordination with CDSS.

The distribution calculation for each subaccount (Sections A through G) reflects a county percentage rather than a dollar amount, as historically provided by CDSS for allocations. The calculation is based on each program's historical General Fund (GF) allocation methodology. For the assistance cost subaccounts, the distribution percentage for the non-waiver counties is based on each county's FY 2010-11 expenditures. For the waiver counties, the percentage is based on their FY 2011-12 distributions displayed in Attachment I on page 62.

In concurrence with the County Welfare Directors Association (CWDA), the claiming process for assistance and administrative costs of AB 118 programs largely will remain the same as in FY 2010-11, with some minor changes. Additionally, FF advances will continue in the same manner as historically provided. For the realigned programs, GF advances will no longer be issued effective with the September 2011 claim month. Any GF advancements issued by CDSS will be recovered from the Local Revenue Fund 2011 per Government Code Section 30025 (e), as amended by ABX1 16.

For the CEC process, counties will still be required to claim the costs expended for each of the realigned programs to the appropriate Program Codes (PC) which will allow CDSS to monitor the expenditures for reporting purposes and to continue calculating the Realignment 1991 Growth calculation. Effective with the September 2011 quarter claim, all costs will be covered by the county with realignment funding using the State-Use-Only (SUO) overmatch codes. This will be accomplished by setting the affected allocation's ledger to zero for the realigned program ledgers.

For the CA 800, counties will still be required to claim the costs expended for each of the realigned programs to the appropriate aid codes (AC) which will allow CDSS to monitor the expenditures for reporting purposes and to continue calculating the Realignment 1991 Growth calculation. The state share for the impacted AC will be retitled to "County 2011" effective with the September 2011 claim. Upon receipt of the counties' completed CA 800 for the July 2011 and August 2011 periods, CDSS will adjust the reimbursement in accordance with AB 118 and provide the counties updated information.
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The Title IV-E Child Welfare Waiver Demonstration Capped Allocation Project (CAP) was approved by the federal Department of Health and Human Services on March 31, 2006, and was implemented on July 1, 2007. For informational purposes only, Attachment I on page 62 includes the individual county distribution and details of the methodology for the counties participating in the Title IV-E Child Welfare Waiver Demonstration CAP.

Below is a list of CDSS subaccounts within the Health and Human Services Account. The methodology used to determine each county's percentage, the claiming instructions, program codes, and calculations are provided within each subaccount section of this CFL:


As CDSS transitions into Realignment during FY 2011-12, discussions with DOF, CWDA, and the California State Association of Counties (CSAC) will continue to further refine and implement subaccount distributions, claiming processes, and the requirements set forth in AB 118.

If you have any questions regarding this CFL please directed them to CDSSAB118@dss.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

## Original Document Signed By:

FRAN MUELLER

Deputy Director
Administration Division
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## Section A - Adoptions Subaccount

The Adoptions Subaccount will be used to fund costs associated with providing adoption services, including agency adoptions, as described in statute and regulations, and adoption costs incurred by the county. Attachment A-1 on page 7 displays the county specific distribution included in this subaccount for the following programs:

## Adoptions Basic

The Adoptions Basic reflects the costs associated with administrating the Adoptions Program. The distribution for the Adoptions Basic was based on county specific unit cost calculations from FY 2001-02. These unit costs were multiplied by each county's performance agreement full-time equivalents to determine each county's individual distribution. In addition, the costs for the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act, Safe and Timely Interstate Placement of the Foster Care, and Resource Family Approval Pilot programs were included. The costs for these programs were distributed based on each county's percent of the total FY 2011-12 Adoptions Program Basic distribution.

The expenditures associated with the Adoptions Basic should be claimed on the CEC to PCs 117, 118, and 123. The federally funded components will be subject to the nonfederal discount rate, via PC 118, that is calculated on the CEC. All GF expenditures associated with these codes will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 191. The cost for non-recurring adoptions should be claimed on the CEC to PC 121. Historically, PC 121 is not part of a ledger. Therefore, the sharing ratios will be changed from 50 federal/50 state share to 50 federal/50 county share.

The P.L. 110-351 IV-E Adoptions Training includes a broader description of who can be trained using Title IV-E funds and provides for additional categories of trainees eligible to receive Title IV-E short-term training. P.L. 110-351 allows Federal Financial Participation for the costs of Title IV-E short-term training for relative guardians, statelicensed or state approved child welfare agencies providing services, staff members of abuse and neglect courts, agency attorneys, attorneys representing children or parents, guardians ad Litem, or other court-appointed special advocates representing children in proceedings of such courts. Administrative costs can be captured using PC 748, PL 110-351 IV-E Training Adoptions. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 749, that is calculated on the CEC. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 191.
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## Agency Adoptions

The Budget Act of 2011 included approximately $\$ 12$ million ( $\$ 6$ million GF) in support of the agency adoptions program operated by CDSS. This amount funds the cost of social workers operating the program (salaries and benefits) and operating expenses for those staff within CDSS. AB 118 realigned the entire CDSS State Operations GF budget for the agency adoptions program of $\$ 6$ million GF to the counties and gives the 28 counties, who do not have a licensed public adoption agency, the option to contract directly with CDSS for these services. In order to include the Agency Adoptions in the percentage distribution for the Adoptions subaccount beginning with the September 2011 schedule, and based on discussions with CSAC, CWDA, DOF, and CDSS, it was agreed to distribute the $\$ 6$ million GF to these 28 counties based on a percent to total of share of open cases as of July 2011 within the CDSS District Offices that currently provide agency adoption services to these 28 counties. As implementation discussions continue to occur with regards to the transition of agency adoptions program workload, further refinements of the county specific distribution of these funds may be necessary.

## Adoptions County Counsel (ACC) Program

The ACC reflects the costs of the parental rights termination proceedings for those counties that do not provide their own adoption services. The distribution of the costs for this program was based on a percent to total of each county's ACC expenditures for calendar year 2010.

The expenditures associated with ACC should be claimed on the CEC to PCs 567, and 568. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 512.

## Specialized Training for Adoptive Parents (STAP)

This distribution reflects the costs for special training and services to preadoptive/adoptive parents to facilitate the adoption of children who are Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) positive or born to a substance-abusing mother. Funds were distributed in accordance with plans submitted by counties for FY 2002-03. The STAP program is funded by a federal, state, and county share consistent with the normal sharing ratio for child welfare services. The recruitment component is funded with 50 percent federal funds, and the training component is funded with 75 percent federal funds. The federally funded components (recruitment and training) will be subject to the non-federal discount rate that is obtained from the CEC. There is no federal share for the respite component as it is solely funded by county funds.
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The expenditures associated with STAP should be claimed to PC 005 (STAP - Case Management) and PC 006 (STAP - Respite Care). All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 050.
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ATTACHMENT A-1
ADOPTIONS SUB ACCOUNT DISTRIBUTION


TOTAL

| $\begin{gathered} \text { ADOPTIONS } \\ \text { BASIC } \\ \text { DISTRIBUTION } \end{gathered}$ | AGENCY <br> ADOPTIONS DISTRIBUTION | ADOPTIONS COUNTY COUNSEL DISTRIBUTION | SPECIALIZED TRAINING FOR ADOPTIVEPARENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,859,445 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$50,975 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$764,620 | \$6,449 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$152,924 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$44,978 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$1,721,975 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$170,915 | \$744 | \$0 |
| \$175,596 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,563 |
| \$792,087 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$143,929 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$614,695 | \$326,425 | \$0 |
| \$132,984 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$1,383,117 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$296,853 | \$31,419 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$266,867 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$35,982 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$17,163,748 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$230,885 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$278,848 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$17,991 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$320,841 | \$16,658 | \$51,333 |
| \$324,067 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$8,996 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$2,998 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$565,667 | \$0 | \$0 | \$206,742 |
| \$0 | \$215,893 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$146,927 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$3,313,608 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$211,239 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$47,976 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$1,745,185 | \$0 | \$0 | \$24,306 |
| \$2,316,281 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$44,978 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$2,746,706 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$4,138,051 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$1,675,130 | \$0 | \$0 | \$23,800 |
| \$1,506,323 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$728,837 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30,275 |
| \$1,132,961 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$290,965 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$2,151,203 | \$0 | \$0 | \$55,888 |
| \$417,719 | \$0 | \$0 | \$82,079 |
| \$424,235 | \$0 | \$0 | \$49,968 |
| \$0 | \$2,998 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$182,909 | \$644 | \$0 |
| \$366,559 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$743,631 | \$621,585 | \$0 |
| \$389,666 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$287,857 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$302,850 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$80,960 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$480,964 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$281,860 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$378,834 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$398,802 | \$8,036 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$176,910 | \$40 | \$0 |
| \$48,812,000 | \$6,039,000 | \$1,012,000 | \$530,954 |



| SEPT 2011 ADOPTIONS \% age DISTRIBUTION |
| :---: |
| $3.29724176 \%$ |
| 0.00000000\% |
| 0.09039090\% |
| 1.36729019\% |
| $0.27117091 \%$ |
| 0.07975678\% |
| 3.05347449\% |
| 0.30439256\% |
| 0.32301158\% |
| 1.40456014\% |
| 0.25522062\% |
| 1.66883138\% |
| 0.23581251\% |
| 0.00000000\% |
| $2.45259802 \%$ |
| 0.58210495\% |
| 0.47321917\% |
| 0.06380471\% |
| 30.43543994\% |
| 0.40941446\% |
| 0.49446435\% |
| 0.03190236\% |
| 0.68949235\% |
| 0.57464848\% |
| 0.01595206\% |
| 0.00531617\% |
| 1.36966633\% |
| 0.38283005\% |
| 0.26053679\% |
| 5.87582137\% |
| 0.37457739\% |
| 0.08507295\% |
| 3.13773175\% |
| 4.10732150\% |
| $0.07975678 \%$ |
| 4.87056822\% |
| 7.33775646\% |
| 3.01261018\% |
| 2.67107180\% |
| 1.34608756\% |
| 2.00901146\% |
| 0.51595070\% |
| 3.91370146\% |
| 0.88626167\% |
| 0.84087560\% |
| 0.00531617\% |
| 0.32548347\% |
| 0.64999698\% |
| 2.42085526\% |
| 0.69097123\% |
| 0.51043947\% |
| 0.53702565\% |
| 0.14356149\% |
| 0.85286448\% |
| 0.49980535\% |
| $0.67176350 \%$ |
| 0.72142131\% |
| 0.31377478\% |
| 100.00000000\% |
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## Section B - Adoptions Assistance Subaccount

The Adoptions Assistance Program (AAP) Subaccount will be used to fund costs associated with payments to families adopting children with special needs.
Attachment B-1 on page 9 displays the county specific distribution included in this subaccount.

The county specific distribution was based on each county's actual FY 2010-11 expenditures as reported on the audited CA 800 claim forms for aid codes 03 and 04.

## ATTACHMENT B-1
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## Section C - Adult Protective Services (APS) Subaccount

The APS Subaccount will be used to fund costs associated with providing assistance to elderly and dependent adults who are functionally impaired, unable to meet their own needs, and who are victims of abuse, neglect, or exploitation. Attachment C-1 on page 11 displays the county-specific distribution included in this subaccount for the following programs.

## APS

The APS distribution amount reflects the administrative costs on behalf of elders and dependent adults. Sixty-five percent of the distribution cost was based on a percent to total of each county's population of ages 65 and older from the most recent United States Census Bureau data as of July 1, 2009. The remaining 35 percent was distributed on a percent to total of each county's disabled adult population between the ages of 18 and 64 years from the most recent Supplemental Security Income/State Supplementary Payment caseload data, dated June 2011. It also includes the minimum floor previously established.

## County Services Block Grant (CSBG)

The CSBG reflects additional costs for APS and related optional services and activities. The distribution for CSBG cost was based on the same distribution percentages as the Fiscal Year (FY) 2007-08 CSBG Basic Allocation.

The expenditures associated with APS and CSBG will be claimed on the CEC to the following PCs:
113
CSBG-SPMP
114
CSBG - Health Related

115 CSBG $\quad$| 569 | APS Emergency Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| 570 | APS Case Management |
| 571 | APS - SPMP Response |
| 572 | APS - SPMP Case Management |
| 573 | APS - HR Response |
| 574 | APS - HR Case Management |

All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO codes 656. Additionally, SUO codes 589 and 597 utilized for MOE purposes will be discontinued.

ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES (APS) SUBACCOUNT

| COUNTIES | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { APS } \\ \text { DISTRIBUTION } \end{array}$ | CSBG DISTRIBUTION | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { APS - CSBG } \\ \text { DISTRIBUTION } \end{gathered}$ | SEPT 2011 <br> APS <br> $\%$ age DISTRIBUTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALAMEDA | \$1,785,195 | \$243,213 | \$2,028,408 | 3.71755219\% |
| ALPINE | \$100,000 | \$6,592 | \$106,592 | 0.19535583\% |
| AMADOR | \$100,000 | \$5,622 | \$105,622 | 0.19357807\% |
| BUTTE | \$443,583 | \$74,140 | \$517,723 | 0.94885362\% |
| CALAVERAS | \$100,000 | \$5,170 | \$105,170 | 0.19274967\% |
| COLUSA | \$100,000 | \$4,869 | \$104,869 | 0.19219801\% |
| CONTRA COSTA | \$1,232,587 | \$181,833 | \$1,414,420 | 2.59226949\% |
| DEL NORTE | \$100,000 | \$9,784 | \$109,784 | 0.20120595\% |
| EL DORADO | \$205,835 | \$25,059 | \$230,894 | 0.42316955\% |
| FRESNO | \$1,171,196 | \$440,169 | \$1,611,365 | 2.95321921\% |
| GLENN | \$100,000 | \$7,430 | \$107,430 | 0.19689167\% |
| HUMBOLDT | \$259,369 | \$33,929 | \$293,298 | 0.53754009\% |
| IMPERIAL | \$227,548 | \$25,360 | \$252,908 | 0.46351557\% |
| INYO | \$100,000 | \$4,256 | \$104,256 | 0.19107454\% |
| KERN | \$1,012,559 | \$146,886 | \$1,159,445 | 2.12496564\% |
| KINGS | \$142,404 | \$24,851 | \$167,255 | 0.30653556\% |
| LAKE | \$148,418 | \$6,611 | \$155,029 | 0.28412844\% |
| LASSEN | \$100,000 | \$6,262 | \$106,262 | 0.19475102\% |
| LOS ANGELES | \$11,483,825 | \$2,814,231 | \$14,298,056 | 26.20467350\% |
| MADERA | \$166,754 | \$17,139 | \$183,893 | 0.33702876\% |
| MARIN | \$340,768 | \$74,423 | \$415,191 | 0.76093873\% |
| MARIPOSA | \$100,000 | \$2,797 | \$102,797 | 0.18840056\% |
| MENDOCINO | \$160,908 | \$15,990 | \$176,898 | 0.32420871\% |
| MERCED | \$319,161 | \$53,357 | \$372,518 | 0.68273006\% |
| MODOC | \$100,000 | \$2,722 | \$102,722 | 0.18826311\% |
| MONO | \$100,000 | \$10,246 | \$110,246 | 0.20205267\% |
| MONTEREY | \$411,045 | \$81,184 | \$492,229 | 0.90212965\% |
| NAPA | \$174,121 | \$44,298 | \$218,419 | 0.40030607\% |
| NEVADA | \$162,967 | \$31,660 | \$194,627 | 0.35670143\% |
| ORANGE | \$3,027,536 | \$558,438 | \$3,585,974 | 6.57217162\% |
| PLACER | \$469,227 | \$48,413 | \$517,640 | 0.94870150\% |
| PLUMAS | \$100,000 | \$3,503 | \$103,503 | 0.18969448\% |
| RIVERSIDE | \$2,441,297 | \$306,947 | \$2,748,244 | 5.03682715\% |
| SACRAMENTO | \$2,045,037 | \$586,010 | \$2,631,047 | 4.82203508\% |
| SAN BENITO | \$100,000 | \$5,904 | \$105,904 | 0.19409490\% |
| SAN BERNARDINO | \$2,146,471 | \$281,333 | \$2,427,804 | 4.44954273\% |
| SAN DIEGO | \$3,429,622 | \$891,102 | \$4,320,724 | 7.91878013\% |
| SAN FRANCISCO | \$1,246,111 | \$574,644 | \$1,820,755 | 3.33697744\% |
| SAN JOAQUIN | \$884,979 | \$126,988 | \$1,011,967 | 1.85467625\% |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | \$366,186 | \$88,962 | \$455,148 | 0.83416968\% |
| SAN MATEO | \$779,260 | \$333,993 | \$1,113,253 | 2.04030753\% |
| SANTA BARBARA | \$510,355 | \$89,622 | \$599,977 | 1.09960413\% |
| SANTA CLARA | \$1,770,243 | \$346,932 | \$2,117,175 | 3.88023936\% |
| SANTA CRUZ | \$295,872 | \$47,565 | \$343,437 | 0.62943203\% |
| SHASTA | \$393,916 | \$89,810 | \$483,726 | 0.88654583\% |
| SIERRA | \$100,000 | \$3,155 | \$103,155 | 0.18905669\% |
| SISKIYOU | \$109,075 | \$10,782 | \$119,857 | 0.21966717\% |
| SOLANO | \$507,108 | \$78,538 | \$585,646 | 1.07333908\% |
| SONOMA | \$611,051 | \$78,651 | \$689,702 | 1.26404706\% |
| STANISLAUS | \$677,726 | \$72,426 | \$750,152 | 1.37483643\% |
| SUTTER | \$135,475 | \$21,461 | \$156,936 | 0.28762348\% |
| TEHAMA | \$124,444 | \$11,376 | \$135,820 | 0.24892326\% |
| TRINITY | \$100,000 | \$13,269 | \$113,269 | 0.20759306\% |
| TULARE | \$523,951 | \$125,510 | \$649,461 | 1.19029562\% |
| TUOLUMNE | \$109,405 | \$12,525 | \$121,930 | 0.22346645\% |
| VENTURA | \$859,292 | \$140,662 | \$999,954 | 1.83265949\% |
| YOLO | \$215,144 | \$53,705 | \$268,849 | 0.49273134\% |
| YUBA | \$118,974 | \$14,691 | \$133,665 | 0.24497366\% |
| TOTAL | \$45,146,000 | \$9,417,000 | \$54,563,000 | 100.00000000\% |

## Section D - Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention, and Treatment (CAPIT) Program Subaccount

The CAPIT Subaccount will be used to fund costs for CAPIT services as described in AB 1733 (Chapter 1398, Statutes of 1982). Attachment D-1 on page 13 displays the county specific distribution included in this subaccount.

The CAPIT program reflects costs for prevention and intervention services for children at risk of abuse and/or neglect. CAPIT funds are utilized to provide services to high-risk children and their families, as well as training and technical assistance to funded agencies.

Per CWDA's recommendation, individual county distributions were based on each county's existing three-year plan and will remain the same through FY 2011-12. For information on the current funding distribution methodology, please refer to the CDSS letter dated November 16, 2001, "County CAPIT Allocations and Guidelines for Applying for CAPIT and Community Based Family Resource and Support Programs Funding" at:
http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/lettersnotices/entres/getinfo/coletters/CAPIT-Guidelines-2001!.pdf.
The expenditures associated with CAPIT should be claimed on the CEC to PC 167. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 696. Since CAPIT costs are utilized as a match for federal funding, it is imperative that counties ensure expenditures are reported correctly.

CHILD AB USE PREVENTION, INTERVENTION, \& TREATMENT (CAPIT) PROGRAM SUBACCOUNT

| COUNTIES | CAPIT <br> DISTRIBUTION | SEPT 2011 <br> CAPIT <br> \% age DISTRIBUTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALAMEDA | \$445,116 | $3.32300112 \%$ |
| ALPINE | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| AMADOR | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| BUTTE | \$81,308 | $0.60700261 \%$ |
| CALA VERAS | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| COLUSA | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| CONTRA COSTA | \$319,243 | 2.38329974\% |
| DEL NORTE | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| EL DORADO | \$81,308 | $0.60700261 \%$ |
| FRESNO | \$331,526 | 2.47499813\% |
| GLENN | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| HUMBOLDT | \$81,308 | $0.60700261 \%$ |
| IMPERIAL | \$81,308 | $0.60700261 \%$ |
| INYO | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| KERN | \$275,763 | $2.05870101 \%$ |
| KINGS | \$81,308 | $0.60700261 \%$ |
| LAKE | \$75,883 | 0.56650243\% |
| LASSEN | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| LOS ANGELES | \$3,373,249 | 25.18289660\% |
| MADERA | \$81,308 | 0.60700261\% |
| MARIN | \$81,308 | $0.60700261 \%$ |
| MARIPOSA | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| MENDOCINO | \$75,883 | 0.56650243\% |
| MERCED | \$94,502 | 0.70550205\% |
| MODOC | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| MONO | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| MONTEREY | \$143,327 | 1.07000373\% |
| NAPA | \$81,308 | $0.60700261 \%$ |
| NEVADA | \$75,883 | 0.56650243\% |
| ORANGE | \$953,764 | 7.12029862\% |
| PLACER | \$84,964 | 0.63429638\% |
| PLUMAS | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| RIVERSIDE | \$606,660 | 4.52900336\% |
| SACRAMENTO | \$444,374 | 3.31746174\% |
| SAN BENITO | \$75,883 | 0.56650243\% |
| SAN BERNARDINO | \$712,038 | 5.31569989\% |
| SAN DIEGO | \$941,427 | 7.02819709\% |
| SAN FRANCISCO | \$142,791 | 1.06600224\% |
| SAN JOAQUIN | \$223,496 | 1.66850317\% |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | \$81,308 | $0.60700261 \%$ |
| SAN MATEO | \$200,885 | 1.49970138\% |
| SANTA BARBARA | \$127,922 | 0.95499813\% |
| SANTA CLARA | \$521,360 | 3.89219858\% |
| SANTA CRUZ | \$81,308 | $0.60700261 \%$ |
| SHASTA | \$81,308 | $0.60700261 \%$ |
| SIERRA | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| SISKIYOU | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| SOLANO | \$141,639 | 1.05740202\% |
| SONOMA | \$139,750 | 1.04329974\% |
| STANISLAUS | \$179,935 | 1.34329974\% |
| SUTTER | \$75,883 | $0.56650243 \%$ |
| TEHAMA | \$75,883 | 0.56650243\% |
| TRINITY | \$65,046 | 0.48559910\% |
| TULARE | \$163,566 | 1.22109742\% |
| TUOLUMNE | \$75,883 | $0.56650243 \%$ |
| VENTURA | \$269,253 | 2.01010078\% |
| YOLO | \$81,308 | $0.60700261 \%$ |
| YUBA | \$75,883 | $0.56650251 \%$ |
| TOTAL | \$13,395,000 | 100.000000000\% |

## Section E - Child Welfare Services (CWS) Subaccount

The CWS Subaccount will be used to fund costs for CWS for services that prevent, identify, and respond to allegations as described in the Welfare and Institutions Code sections 16500 and 11461 (e)(4)(B). Attachment E-1 starting on page 21 through page 26 displays the county specific distribution included in this subaccount for the following programs. Please see Attachment I on page 62 for the distribution to the participating Title IV-E Waiver counties.

## Emancipated Foster Youth Stipends (EYS)

The EYS reflects the costs to administer stipends for emancipating foster youth by providing assistance with locating affordable housing, textbooks for college or vocational training, employment searches, emergency personal needs, and bus vouchers.

Fifty percent of the distribution costs were based on a percent to statewide total of each county's total number of foster care children 18 years and older exiting foster care during the last three calendar years, based on data from the Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS). The remaining fifty percent was distributed based on a percent to statewide total of each county's FY 2010-11 expenditures for EYS as reported on the CEC. An adjustment was made to reflect the impact of the CWS budget reduction and a minimum floor was applied.

The expenditures associated with the EYS should be claimed on the CEC to PC 111. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 112.

## Supportive Therapeutic Options Program (STOP)

STOP reflects the costs for counties to expand treatment and support options for families with children returning from out-of-home placement or who are at risk of such placements. This program helps to minimize the trauma of change and loss of services by developing aftercare strategies to continue supportive and therapeutic relationships with these children. Counties may use STOP funds to contract with other county agencies such as Mental Health or Probation to provide treatment such as group therapy and drug and alcohol programs. Counties may also use these funds for a wide variety of interventions such as recreation activities, including after school programs, respite care, independent living services, music therapy, and crisis intervention.

Fifty percent of the distribution costs were based on a percent to statewide total of each county's total number of CWS Family Reunification and Permanent Placement cases during the calendar years 2007 through 2009, as provided by the CDSS Child Welfare
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Data Analysis Bureau. The remaining fifty percent were distributed based on a percent to statewide total of each county's FY 2009-10 expenditures for STOP as reported on the CEC. Only expenditures up to each county's FY 2009-10 allocation were used. An adjustment for the impact of the CWS budget reduction was applied.

The expenditures associated with STOP should be claimed on the CEC to PC 588 -STOP-Assessment/Case Plan. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 549.

## Group Home Monthly Visits (GHMV)

Funding for the GHMV is for the costs associated with monthly visits to foster children placed in out-of-state and in-state group home facilities. These visits are to be conducted either by County Welfare Departments (CWDs) or County Probation Departments (CPDs) as instructed in All County Letter No. 98-81 dated October 16, 1998.

The welfare distribution has an in-state and an out-of state component. The in-state component's 50 percent was distributed based on each county's percent to total of the 56 counties' actual GHMV Welfare expenditures as claimed on the CEC for the four most recent quarters (June 2010 - March 2011). The remaining in-state component's 50 percent was distributed based on each county's percent to total of the 56 counties' welfare supervised group home placements extracted from CWS/CMS for calendar year 2010. The out-of-state component was distributed based on each county's percent to total of the 56 counties' welfare supervised children in out-of-state group home placements extracted from CWS/CMS for calendar year 2010.

Likewise, the probation distribution has an in-state and an out-of state component. The in-state component's 50 percent was distributed based on each county's percent to total of the 56 counties' actual GHMV Probation expenditures as claimed on the CEC for the four most recent quarters (June 2010 - March 2011). The remaining in-state component's 50 percent was distributed based on each county's percent to total of the 56 counties' probation supervised group home placements extracted from CWS/CMS for calendar year 2010. The out-of-state component was distributed based on each county's percent to total of the 56 counties' welfare supervised children in out-of-state group home placements extracted from CWS/CMS for calendar year 2010.

A minimum floor adjustment was made to both the welfare and probation distribution. The expenditures associated for GHMV welfare should be claimed on the CEC to PC 577 - Monthly Visits for Group Home Placements/CWD. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 045 for CWS Case Management and 051 for CWS costs which is tracked against the CWS Basic.
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The expenditures associated with GHMV probation should be claimed on the CEC to PCs 579 - Monthly Visits for Group Home Placements, and 581 - Non-federal Monthly Visits. The CPDs can report non-federal costs after applying their own non-federal discount rate to PC 581. County Probation Officers performing these monthly visits will still account for their time and report expenditures as per their Memorandum of Understanding with the CWDs and in accordance with the claim instructions issued in CFL No. 99/00-62, dated May 23, 2000. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 586, that is calculated on the CEC. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 329.

## Extended Independent Living Program (ILP)

The extended ILP reflects the costs that exceeded the federal ILP allocation and the cost incurred due to the implementation of Senate Bill (SB) 654 (Chapter 555, Statutes of 2010). The distribution was based on a percent total of each county's FY 2010-11 GF allocation as shown in CFL No. 10/11-33 dated December 13, 2010. An adjustment was made for the impact of the CWS budget reduction.

The recent passage of SB 654 has changed the state ILP eligibility requirements for youth in non-related legal guardianships. The CDSS has received policy clarification that youth between the ages of 16 and 17 placed with non-related legal guardians are not eligible for federally funded ILP services.

The expenditures associated with extended ILP should be claimed on the CEC to PCs 182 - ILSP - Case Management, 184 - ILSP - Services, 745 - ILP Nonrelatives Non-Fed-CM, and PC 746 - ILP Nonrelatives Non-Fed - Services. Since extended ILP costs are utilized as a match for federal ILP, it is imperative to ensure expenditures are properly reported. All GF share of expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 810 and 237.

## Foster Parent Training and Recruitment (FPT\&R)

The FPT\&R Program reflects the costs for training and recruitment activities. Based on data from CWS/CMS as reported by counties for calendar year 2010, the training distribution was based on the average monthly number of children placed in out of home care, excluding group homes, foster family agencies, and probation. The recruitment distribution was based on the average monthly number of children in out-ofhome placements, excluding probation and is based on data from CWS/CMS as reported by counties for calendar year 2010. An adjustment was made for the impact of the CWS budget reduction.
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The expenditures associated with FPT\&R should be claimed on the CEC to PCs 504 and 506. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PCs 505 and 507 that is calculated on the CEC. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 508.

## Kinship Foster Care (FC) Emergency Fund

The Kinship FC Emergency Fund reflects the costs to remove some of the barriers associated with making or maintaining successful placements in relative caregiver and foster family homes. The distribution was based on the May 2011 Relative Placement caseload data extracted from CWS/CMS and was calculated as follows inclusive of an adjustment for the impact of the CWS budget reduction:

- $\$ 34,720$ Counties with 500 or more children in relative placements.
- $\$ 11,885$ Counties with at least 100 but fewer than 500 children in relative placements.
- $\$ 3,710$ Counties with fewer than 100 children in relative placements.

The expenditures associated with the Kinship FC Emergency Fund should be claimed on the CEC to PC 493. The federally funded components will be subject to the nonfederal discount rate, via PC 562, that is calculated on the CEC. All GF share of expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 563.

## State Family Preservation (SFP)

The SFP reflects the costs to provide family preservation services for families whose children, without these services, would be subject to the following:

- Be at imminent risk of out-of-home placement.
- Remain in existing out-of-home placement for longer periods of time.
- Be placed in a more restrictive out-of-home placement.

The distribution was based on the original FY 1998-99 allocation which utilized each county's proportionate share of the total number of children (birth to 17 years of age) and total number of children in poverty (CFL No. 98/99-38 dated September 25, 1998). Since then, the individual county allocations have been held constant and only adjusted annually to reflect the statewide appropriation of any given year. The current distribution was adjusted for impact of the CWS budget reduction.

All expenditures associated with SFP should be claimed on the CEC to PCs 159, 168, 175, 177, and 179. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 501. Additionally, CDSS will continue to utilize these family preservation

CFL No: 11/12-18
Page 18
expenditures as the 25 percent match for the 100 percent federally funded Promoting Safe and Stable Families (PSSF) allocation.

## Substance Abuse/HIV Infant Program

The Substance Abuse/HIV Infant Program reflects the costs for recruitment, training, and respite care for foster parents who care for substance exposed or HIV children. The distribution was based on the individual budgets submitted to the CDSS' Foster Care Support Services Bureau. The current distribution was adjusted for the CWS budget reduction.

The expenditures associated with Substance Abuse/HIV Infant should be claimed on the CEC to PCs 172 and 523 . The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 561, that is calculated on the CEC. All GF share of expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 552.

## CWS Outcome Improvement Project Grant (CWSOIP) and CWS Differential Response (DR), Safety Assessment (SA), and Permanency and Youth Services (PYS)

The CWSOIP Grant and SA, DR, and PYS Programs reflect the costs for county child welfare agencies to enhance/modify the counties existing service delivery systems to improve outcomes for children and families. A total of seventy-five percent of the grant costs was distributed based on each county's FY 2010-11 CWSOIP distribution. The remaining 25 percent was distributed on a percent to statewide total of each county's FY 2010-11 CWSOIP Augmentation distributions. The SA, DR, and PYS were distributed to the 11 pilot counties at the same level of funding as the FY 2007-08 SA, DR, and PYS allocation.

All expenditures associated with CWSOIP Grant and SA, DR, and PYS should be claimed on the CEC to PCs 037 and 059. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 038. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 039, that is calculated on the CEC.

## CWSOIP Probation

The CWSOIP Probation reflects costs for CPDs to meet the same federal outcomes standards as CWDs. The distribution was based on a percent to statewide total of each county's average monthly caseload for calendar year 2010 from the CA 237 (Aid to Families with Dependent Children Foster Care Case Movement and Expenditure Report). A minimum floor was established for each county.
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The expenditures associated with CWSOIP Probation should be claimed on the CEC by CWDs to PCs 703 and 704. The CPDs can report non-federal costs after applying their own non-federal discount rate to PC 704. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 705.

## Kinship Support Services Program (KSSP)

The KSSP reflects the costs to provide community-based family support services to kinship (relative) caregivers and the children who are placed in their homes by the juvenile court or who are at risk of dependency or delinquency. The distribution is based on each county's FY 2010-11 KSSP allocation.

The expenditures associated with KSSP should be claimed on the CEC to PC 582. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 328.

## Transitional Housing Placement Program (THPP) and THP-Plus

The THPP and THP-Plus Programs reflect costs for foster youth emancipating from the foster care system and youth who have already emancipated from foster care. The distribution for THPP is the same as in FY 2010-11 and adjusted for the impact of the CWS budget reduction.

AB 12, Statutes of 2010, created a new foster care placement option, THP-Plus Foster Care (THP-Plus FC) for all counties. The funding for the program is tied to the existing THP-Plus and will be shared by both programs and used to draw down a federal share of costs for THP-Plus FC. The distribution was based on each counties FY 2010-11 rates and allocation. For the new five counties in FY 2011-12, their distribution was also adjusted for two bed counts per county. The current distribution was adjusted for the CWS budget reduction.

The expenditures associated with THPP and THP-Plus should continue to be claimed on the CA 800 assistance claim.

## CWS Basic Plus Related Premises

The CWS Basic plus related premises reflects the administrative costs of each component of the CWS program, which are as follows:

- Emergency Response
- Emergency Response Assessment
- Family Maintenance
- Family Reunification
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- Permanent Placement

Attachment E-1a on page 27 through page 38 provides a description and distribution methodology for all the premises that are part of CWS Basic.

Attachments E-1b, E-1c, and E-1d starting on page 39 display each county's distribution for each of the premises, augmentations, and federal funds as provided in past years within the CWS allocation CFL. A subsequent CFL will be released to the counties providing information on how the capped federal funds (Title XX, Title IV-B, and Emergency Assistance Temporary Assistance for Needy Families [TANF]) will be processed by CDSS.

Attachment E-1e on page 53 displays a list of all the programs codes to be claimed on the CEC. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO codes.

## CHILD WELFARE SERVICES SUBACCOUNT

| COUNTIES | CWS BASIC PLUS PREMISES DISTRIBUTION | CWS <br> AUGMENTATION DISTRIBUTION | CWSOIP <br> AUGMENTATION DISTRIBUTION | CWSOIP DR, SA, PYS DISTRIBUTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALAMEDA | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| ALPINE | \$145,372 | \$56,304 | \$66,000 | \$50,554 |
| AMADOR | \$253,231 | \$56,304 | \$66,000 | \$50,554 |
| BUTTE | \$2,960,967 | \$484,494 | \$609,492 | \$279,181 |
| CALA VERAS | \$600,697 | \$74,249 | \$93,408 | \$50,554 |
| COLUSA | \$262,311 | \$56,304 | \$66,000 | \$50,554 |
| CONTRA COSTA | \$10,555,120 | \$897,683 | \$1,129,327 | \$900,555 |
| DEL NORTE | \$657,680 | \$89,132 | \$112,115 | \$110,579 |
| EL DORADO | \$1,663,122 | \$195,623 | \$246,091 | \$69,008 |
| FRESNO | \$8,843,373 | \$1,692,053 | \$2,128,657 | \$524,837 |
| GLENN | \$516,150 | \$65,951 | \$82,980 | \$283,331 |
| HUMBOLDT | \$1,702,083 | \$215,683 | \$271,321 | \$1,077,904 |
| IMPERIAL | \$2,985,627 | \$262,807 | \$330,635 | \$83,183 |
| INYO | \$318,804 | \$56,304 | \$66,000 | \$50,554 |
| KERN | \$11,248,741 | \$1,674,463 | \$2,106,516 | \$473,585 |
| KINGS | \$2,009,851 | \$217,212 | \$273,272 | \$71,412 |
| LAKE | \$529,515 | \$100,193 | \$126,053 | \$63,433 |
| LASSEN | \$445,365 | \$69,250 | \$87,122 | \$50,554 |
| LOS ANGELES | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| MADERA | \$1,604,544 | \$237,984 | \$299,399 | \$105,017 |
| MARIN | \$1,181,635 | \$146,437 | \$184,238 | \$73,568 |
| MARIPOSA | \$332,958 | \$56,304 | \$66,000 | \$50,554 |
| MENDOCINO | \$2,433,112 | \$246,572 | \$310,214 | \$78,268 |
| MERCED | \$3,036,963 | \$442,902 | \$557,164 | \$305,796 |
| MODOC | \$164,391 | \$56,304 | \$66,000 | \$50,554 |
| MONO | \$188,709 | \$56,304 | \$66,000 | \$50,554 |
| MONTEREY | \$2,421,407 | \$285,576 | \$359,259 | \$312,045 |
| NAPA | \$821,135 | \$68,717 | \$86,456 | \$50,554 |
| NEVADA | \$499,998 | \$79,428 | \$99,930 | \$83,106 |
| ORANGE | \$26,513,874 | \$2,321,221 | \$2,920,165 | \$664,540 |
| PLACER | \$3,448,338 | \$341,586 | \$429,744 | \$850,001 |
| PLUMAS | \$431,888 | \$56,304 | \$66,000 | \$55,712 |
| RIVERSIDE | \$28,541,501 | \$3,055,932 | \$3,844,437 | \$984,265 |
| SACRAMENTO | \$21,527,404 | \$2,411,411 | \$3,033,642 | \$900,555 |
| SAN BENITO | \$567,569 | \$76,129 | \$95,788 | \$50,554 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | \$19,156,730 | \$2,799,478 | \$3,521,807 | \$717,206 |
| SAN DIEGO | \$32,951,062 | \$3,971,562 | \$4,996,338 | \$1,077,209 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | \$6,858,287 | \$713,884 | \$898,072 | \$647,690 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | \$7,746,534 | \$830,846 | \$1,045,213 | \$349,470 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | \$2,968,460 | \$367,062 | \$461,767 | \$850,001 |
| SAN MATEO | \$4,287,200 | \$388,184 | \$488,358 | \$850,001 |
| SANTA BARBARA | \$2,905,005 | \$376,714 | \$473,913 | \$199,812 |
| SANTA CLARA | \$21,699,569 | \$1,406,477 | \$1,769,398 | \$503,971 |
| SANTA CRUZ | \$1,507,784 | \$231,452 | \$291,158 | \$321,082 |
| SHASTA | \$1,772,944 | \$313,581 | \$394,482 | \$143,738 |
| SIERRA | \$134,277 | \$56,304 | \$66,000 | \$50,554 |
| SISKIYOU | \$528,349 | \$82,720 | \$104,067 | \$50,554 |
| SOLANO | \$1,787,683 | \$302,228 | \$380,230 | \$150,820 |
| SONOMA | \$4,246,289 | \$446,548 | \$561,774 | \$169,935 |
| STANISLAUS | \$4,919,092 | \$592,809 | \$745,779 | \$1,015,579 |
| SUTTER | \$1,196,363 | \$158,261 | \$199,117 | \$60,827 |
| TEHAMA | \$869,834 | \$122,198 | \$153,740 | \$283,331 |
| TRINITY | \$328,872 | \$56,304 | \$66,000 | \$283,331 |
| TULARE | \$5,706,642 | \$767,482 | \$965,512 | \$199,743 |
| TUOLUMNE | \$535,359 | \$105,429 | \$132,653 | \$71,409 |
| VENTURA | \$4,711,057 | \$628,226 | \$790,335 | \$268,370 |
| YOLO | \$1,367,652 | \$210,505 | \$264,837 | \$72,307 |
| YUBA | \$1,479,091 | \$199,626 | \$251,025 | \$175,085 |
| TOTAL | \$269,077,570 | \$31,331,000 | \$39,367,000 | \$17,418,000 |

CHILD WELFARE SERVICES SUBACCOUNT

|  | CWSOIP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTIES | PROBATION | EYS | EXTENDED ILP | FPT \& R |
|  | DISTRIBUTION | DISTRIBUTION | DISTRIBUTION DISTRIBUTION |  |


| ALAMEDA | \$0 | \$72,084 | \$633,193 | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALPINE | \$10,000 | \$300 | \$15,878 | \$1,760 |
| AMADOR | \$10,000 | \$310 | \$15,878 | \$1,760 |
| BUTTE | \$15,997 | \$9,615 | \$136,203 | \$16,977 |
| CALA VERAS | \$10,000 | \$1,500 | \$19,118 | \$2,202 |
| COLUSA | \$10,000 | \$394 | \$15,878 | \$1,760 |
| CONTRA COSTA | \$21,371 | \$28,269 | \$304,255 | \$69,355 |
| DEL NORTE | \$10,000 | \$313 | \$23,097 | \$2,701 |
| EL DORADO | \$10,000 | \$3,652 | \$42,202 | \$5,132 |
| FRESNO | \$46,462 | \$34,962 | \$328,938 | \$63,866 |
| GLENN | \$10,000 | \$1,323 | \$18,324 | \$2,891 |
| HUMBOLDT | \$10,000 | \$3,270 | \$70,878 | \$18,080 |
| IMPERIAL | \$10,000 | \$6,754 | \$70,878 | \$7,866 |
| INYO | \$10,000 | \$300 | \$15,878 | \$2,049 |
| KERN | \$50,742 | \$28,250 | \$233,377 | \$58,158 |
| KINGS | \$10,000 | \$1,578 | \$35,842 | \$7,172 |
| LAKE | \$10,000 | \$2,894 | \$31,863 | \$3,171 |
| LASSEN | \$10,000 | \$750 | \$34,256 | \$1,760 |
| LOS ANGELES | \$0 | \$361,233 | \$5,352,389 | \$0 |
| MADERA | \$10,000 | \$2,784 | \$43,814 | \$4,772 |
| MARIN | \$10,000 | \$3,184 | \$63,712 | \$2,324 |
| MARIPOSA | \$10,000 | \$444 | \$15,878 | \$1,760 |
| MENDOCINO | \$10,000 | \$4,002 | \$50,967 | \$7,795 |
| MERCED | \$15,080 | \$7,047 | \$97,968 | \$14,698 |
| MODOC | \$10,000 | \$333 | \$15,878 | \$1,760 |
| MONO | \$10,000 | \$300 | \$15,878 | \$1,760 |
| MONTEREY | \$10,000 | \$6,289 | \$99,554 | \$7,887 |
| NAPA | \$10,000 | \$1,229 | \$36,648 | \$3,295 |
| NEVADA | \$10,000 | \$583 | \$25,490 | \$2,316 |
| ORANGE | \$55,531 | \$20,233 | \$430,105 | \$75,492 |
| PLACER | \$10,000 | \$5,904 | \$80,450 | \$16,957 |
| PLUMAS | \$10,000 | \$2,100 | \$15,878 | \$1,916 |
| RIVERSIDE | \$77,921 | \$59,810 | \$561,509 | \$102,075 |
| SACRAMENTO | \$78,456 | \$78,509 | \$551,970 | \$92,877 |
| SAN BENITO | \$10,000 | \$547 | \$17,518 | \$2,025 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | \$88,213 | \$47,124 | \$718,416 | \$107,394 |
| SAN DIEGO | \$198,255 | \$76,231 | \$592,578 | \$129,610 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | \$28,784 | \$35,538 | \$391,857 | \$53,582 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | \$30,746 | \$20,037 | \$259,647 | \$33,406 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | \$16,277 | \$8,627 | \$93,975 | \$10,010 |
| SAN MATEO | \$10,000 | \$13,513 | \$125,044 | \$9,448 |
| SANTA BARBARA | \$12,482 | \$9,516 | \$89,202 | \$11,309 |
| SANTA CLARA | \$31,740 | \$40,242 | \$346,456 | \$40,902 |
| SANTA CRUZ | \$10,000 | \$3,397 | \$52,567 | \$7,222 |
| SHASTA | \$14,366 | \$6,997 | \$69,291 | \$13,200 |
| SIERRA | \$10,000 | \$300 | \$15,878 | \$1,760 |
| SISKIYOU | \$10,000 | \$2,057 | \$40,615 | \$3,546 |
| SOLANO | \$10,000 | \$8,011 | \$119,465 | \$11,473 |
| SONOMA | \$10,749 | \$8,418 | \$87,615 | \$83,883 |
| STANISLAUS | \$14,468 | \$10,335 | \$118,672 | \$15,414 |
| SUTTER | \$10,000 | \$583 | \$31,863 | \$4,120 |
| TEHAMA | \$10,000 | \$3,288 | \$40,615 | \$5,801 |
| TRINITY | \$10,000 | \$721 | \$15,931 | \$1,884 |
| TULARE | \$19,359 | \$15,904 | \$241,323 | \$23,472 |
| TUOLUMNE | \$10,000 | \$1,317 | \$15,878 | \$2,903 |
| VENTURA | \$16,001 | \$9,522 | \$124,251 | \$16,729 |
| YOLO | \$10,000 | \$5,333 | \$54,166 | \$7,173 |
| YUBA | \$10,000 | \$2,940 | \$54,153 | \$4,390 |
| TOTAL | \$1,203,000 | \$1,081,000 | \$13,221,000 | \$1,205,000 |

## CHILD WELFARE SERVICES SUB ACCOUNT

|  | GHMV | GHMV | KINSHIP \& |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTIES | PROBATION | WELFARE | FC EMERG | KSSP |
|  | DISTRIBUTION | DISTRIBUTION | DISTRIBUTION | DISTRIBUTION |


| ALAMEDA | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$149,050 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALPINE | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| AMADOR | \$10,482 | \$1,984 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| BUTTE | \$26,957 | \$48,432 | \$11,885 | \$0 |
| CALA VERAS | \$5,888 | \$3,740 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| COLUSA | \$1,000 | \$2,522 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| CONTRA COSTA | \$72,253 | \$142,708 | \$11,885 | \$215,600 |
| DEL NORTE | \$3,089 | \$5,763 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| EL DORADO | \$10,422 | \$14,087 | \$3,710 | \$158,400 |
| FRESNO | \$59,703 | \$147,951 | \$34,720 | \$0 |
| GLENN | \$1,000 | \$5,571 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| HUMBOLDT | \$15,161 | \$9,948 | \$11,885 | \$0 |
| IMPERIAL | \$55,907 | \$27,429 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| INYO | \$7,578 | \$1,236 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| KERN | \$103,472 | \$124,311 | \$34,720 | \$158,400 |
| KINGS | \$23,478 | \$18,861 | \$11,885 | \$0 |
| LAKE | \$13,507 | \$20,880 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| LASSEN | \$35,976 | \$11,682 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| LOS ANGELES | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$176,000 |
| MADERA | \$6,567 | \$15,595 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| MARIN | \$41,857 | \$5,095 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| MARIPOSA | \$2,420 | \$2,517 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| MENDOCINO | \$22,692 | \$23,218 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| MERCED | \$26,211 | \$53,771 | \$11,885 | \$0 |
| MODOC | \$4,566 | \$1,053 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| MONO | \$1,000 | \$7,065 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| MONTEREY | \$154,976 | \$45,700 | \$11,885 | \$281,600 |
| NAPA | \$40,851 | \$8,424 | \$3,710 | \$158,400 |
| NEVADA | \$18,571 | \$3,648 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| ORANGE | \$63,015 | \$231,312 | \$34,720 | \$143,000 |
| PLACER | \$24,279 | \$18,864 | \$11,885 | \$158,400 |
| PLUMAS | \$8,606 | \$4,518 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| RIVERSIDE | \$133,549 | \$229,923 | \$34,720 | \$220,000 |
| SACRAMENTO | \$407,930 | \$221,889 | \$34,720 | \$158,400 |
| SAN BENITO | \$14,619 | \$2,492 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | \$257,528 | \$246,296 | \$34,720 | \$137,170 |
| SAN DIEGO | \$124,107 | \$375,262 | \$34,720 | \$195,624 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | \$178,837 | \$130,455 | \$34,720 | \$153,186 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | \$219,006 | \$139,670 | \$11,885 | \$0 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | \$34,034 | \$29,687 | \$11,885 | \$170,170 |
| SAN MATEO | \$48,102 | \$32,260 | \$11,885 | \$126,170 |
| SANTA BARBARA | \$20,818 | \$24,230 | \$11,885 | \$0 |
| SANTA CLARA | \$219,839 | \$161,166 | \$34,720 | \$170,170 |
| SANTA CRUZ | \$62,691 | \$18,859 | \$11,885 | \$0 |
| SHASTA | \$32,392 | \$34,165 | \$11,885 | \$0 |
| SIERRA | \$1,000 | \$1,451 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| SISKIYOU | \$10,432 | \$9,023 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| SOLANO | \$26,237 | \$26,980 | \$11,885 | \$0 |
| SONOMA | \$70,473 | \$74,636 | \$11,885 | \$196,570 |
| STANISLAUS | \$64,371 | \$40,951 | \$11,885 | \$232,320 |
| SUTTER | \$5,379 | \$11,144 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| TEHAMA | \$9,185 | \$17,569 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| TRINITY | \$1,000 | \$2,485 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| TULARE | \$19,631 | \$51,246 | \$11,885 | \$0 |
| TUOLUMNE | \$4,075 | \$5,465 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| VENTURA | \$26,213 | \$54,578 | \$11,885 | \$161,370 |
| YOLO | \$5,159 | \$20,006 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| YUBA | \$3,909 | \$15,227 | \$3,710 | \$0 |
| TOTAL | ,863,000 | 986,000 | \$634,000 | ,520,000 |


| COUNTIES | $\begin{gathered} \text { SFP } \\ \text { DISTRIBUTION } \end{gathered}$ | SUBS ABUSE/HIV DISTRIBUTION | STOP DISTRIBUTION | $\begin{gathered} \text { THPP } \\ \text { DIS TRIBUTION } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALAMEDA | \$969,036 | \$0 | \$243,156 | \$0 |
| ALPINE | \$0 | \$0 | \$289 | \$0 |
| AMADOR | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,912 | \$0 |
| BUTTE | \$0 | \$60,421 | \$81,573 | \$27,802 |
| CALA VERAS | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,141 | \$0 |
| COLUSA | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,914 | \$4,562 |
| CONTRA COSTA | \$737,809 | \$443,752 | \$217,344 | \$36,474 |
| DEL NORTE | \$0 | \$0 | \$13,105 | \$6,426 |
| EL DORADO | \$0 | \$0 | \$24,550 | \$8,568 |
| FRESNO | \$0 | \$0 | \$284,389 | \$0 |
| GLENN | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,358 | \$7,778 |
| HUMBOLDT | \$93,421 | \$0 | \$35,374 | \$6,438 |
| IMPERIAL | \$0 | \$0 | \$29,850 | \$0 |
| INYO | \$0 | \$0 | \$867 | \$0 |
| KERN | \$0 | \$0 | \$239,082 | \$0 |
| KINGS | \$0 | \$0 | \$42,465 | \$0 |
| LAKE | \$0 | \$0 | \$26,516 | \$12,486 |
| LASSEN | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,249 | \$6,555 |
| LOS ANGELES | \$10,485,344 | \$0 | \$3,306,862 | \$0 |
| MADERA | \$0 | \$0 | \$30,769 | \$0 |
| MARIN | \$0 | \$0 | \$16,933 | \$2,731 |
| MARIPOSA | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,673 | \$0 |
| MENDOCINO | \$118,213 | \$0 | \$31,247 | \$7,491 |
| MERCED | \$0 | \$0 | \$57,562 | \$0 |
| MODOC | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,143 | \$0 |
| MONO | \$0 | \$0 | \$289 | \$0 |
| MONTEREY | \$0 | \$150,819 | \$67,339 | \$16,688 |
| NAPA | \$139,436 | \$0 | \$18,931 | \$0 |
| NEVADA | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,907 | \$14,222 |
| ORANGE | \$0 | \$0 | \$408,655 | \$42,217 |
| PLACER | \$151,036 | \$0 | \$53,738 | \$46,939 |
| PLUMAS | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,700 | \$2,419 |
| RIVERSIDE | \$257,555 | \$0 | \$308,834 | \$0 |
| SACRAMENTO | \$945,237 | \$0 | \$553,308 | \$0 |
| SAN BENITO | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,683 | \$0 |
| SAN BERNA RDINO | \$0 | \$0 | \$639,015 | \$39,217 |
| SAN DIEGO | \$1,754,587 | \$626,183 | \$550,027 | \$0 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | \$0 | \$353,228 | \$158,113 | \$112,058 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | \$0 | \$0 | \$212,689 | \$0 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | \$150,862 | \$120,490 | \$60,703 | \$18,260 |
| SAN MATEO | \$0 | \$0 | \$71,691 | \$0 |
| SANTA BARBARA | \$0 | \$0 | \$79,247 | \$23,645 |
| SANTA CLARA | \$726,458 | \$0 | \$201,043 | \$53,657 |
| SANTA CRUZ | \$80,440 | \$153,358 | \$40,734 | \$0 |
| SHASTA | \$0 | \$250,749 | \$63,440 | \$14,046 |
| SIERRA | \$0 | \$0 | \$741 | \$0 |
| SISKIYOU | \$0 | \$0 | \$17,893 | \$4,916 |
| SOLANO | \$514,332 | \$0 | \$72,209 | \$6,682 |
| SONOMA | \$0 | \$0 | \$69,975 | \$19,080 |
| STANISLAUS | \$90,234 | \$0 | \$89,032 | \$0 |
| SUTTER | \$0 | \$0 | \$22,041 | \$0 |
| TEHAMA | \$0 | \$0 | \$19,456 | \$7,187 |
| TRINITY | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,925 | \$10,425 |
| TULARE | \$0 | \$0 | \$141,461 | \$0 |
| TUOLUMNE | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,811 | \$0 |
| VENTURA | \$0 | \$0 | \$48,587 | \$9,048 |
| YOLO | \$0 | \$0 | \$34,068 | \$0 |
| YUBA | \$0 | \$0 | \$21,392 | \$14,983 |
| TOTAL | \$17,214,000 | \$2,159,000 | \$8,760,000 | \$583,000 |

# ATTACHMENT E-1 (continued) 

CHILD WELFARE SERVICES SUBACCOUNT

| COUNTIES | THP-PLUS DISTRIBUTION | TITLEIV-E WAIVER <br> CWS ONLY <br> DISTRIBUTION | TITLE IV-E WAIVER CWS ONLY NON-WAIVER DIS TRIBUTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALAMEDA | \$2,897,614 | \$21,709,903 | \$2,575,080 |
| ALPINE | \$67,344 |  |  |
| AMADOR | \$67,344 |  |  |
| BUTTE | \$323,580 |  |  |
| CALA VERAS | \$104,190 |  |  |
| COLUSA | \$48,622 |  |  |
| CONTRA COSTA | \$1,089,309 |  |  |
| DEL NORTE | \$374,846 |  |  |
| EL DORADO | \$304,283 |  |  |
| FRESNO | \$522,346 |  |  |
| GLENN | \$207,278 |  |  |
| HUMBOLDT | \$173,650 |  |  |
| IMPERIAL | \$99,969 |  |  |
| INYO | \$121,554 |  |  |
| KERN | \$825,481 |  |  |
| KINGS | \$72,160 |  |  |
| LAKE | \$250,055 |  |  |
| LASSEN | \$138,919 |  |  |
| LOS ANGELES | \$2,165,106 | \$172,581,095 | \$20,116,350 |
| MADERA | \$145,629 |  |  |
| MARIN | \$283,683 |  |  |
| MARIPOSA | \$178,485 |  |  |
| MENDOCINO | \$277,839 |  |  |
| MERCED | \$244,141 |  |  |
| MODOC | \$82,859 |  |  |
| MONO | \$67,344 |  |  |
| MONTEREY | \$410,701 |  |  |
| NAPA | \$444,744 |  |  |
| NEVADA | \$144,763 |  |  |
| ORANGE | \$1,698,256 |  |  |
| PLACER | \$551,102 |  |  |
| PLUMAS | \$61,280 |  |  |
| RIVERSIDE | \$866,043 |  |  |
| SACRAMENTO | \$1,984,843 |  |  |
| SAN BENITO | \$67,344 |  |  |
| SAN BERNARDINO | \$1,052,386 |  |  |
| SAN DIEGO | \$4,831,711 |  |  |
| SAN FRANCISCO | \$2,474,795 |  |  |
| SAN JOAQUIN | \$539,866 |  |  |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | \$421,298 |  |  |
| SAN MATEO | \$1,476,186 |  |  |
| SANTA BARBARA | \$331,036 |  |  |
| SANTA CLARA | \$2,086,413 |  |  |
| SANTA CRUZ | \$472,410 |  |  |
| SHASTA | \$194,488 |  |  |
| SIERRA | \$67,344 |  |  |
| SISKIYOU | \$197,036 |  |  |
| SOLANO | \$962,670 |  |  |
| SONOMA | \$376,268 |  |  |
| STANISLAUS | \$327,303 |  |  |
| SUTTER | \$260,474 |  |  |
| TEHAMA | \$355,430 |  |  |
| TRINITY | \$270,656 |  |  |
| TULARE | \$215,680 |  |  |
| TUOLUMNE | \$105,316 |  |  |
| VENTURA | \$65,987 |  |  |
| YOLO | \$162,128 |  |  |
| YUBA | \$318,413 |  |  |
| TOTAL | \$34,928,000 | \$194,290,998 | \$22,691,430 |

# ATTACHMENT E-1 (continued) 

CHILD WELFARE SERVICES SUBACCOUNT

| COUNTIES | TOTAL CWS DISTRIBUTION | SEPT 2011 <br> CWS <br> \% age DISTRIBUTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALAMEDA | \$29,249,116 | 4.40145427\% |
| ALPINE | \$419,511 | 0.06312869\% |
| AMADOR | \$540,469 | 0.08133065\% |
| BUTTE | \$5,093,576 | $0.76648955 \%$ |
| CALA VERAS | \$971,397 | $0.14617739 \%$ |
| COLUSA | \$525,531 | $0.07908275 \%$ |
| CONTRA COSTA | \$16,873,069 | $2.53908670 \%$ |
| DEL NORTE | \$1,412,556 | $0.21256371 \%$ |
| EL DORADO | \$2,758,850 | 0.41515621\% |
| FRESNO | \$14,712,257 | $2.21392422 \%$ |
| GLENN | \$1,213,645 | 0.18263126\% |
| HUMBOLDT | \$3,715,096 | $0.55905365 \%$ |
| IMPERIAL | \$3,974,615 | $0.59810649 \%$ |
| INYO | \$654,834 | 0.09854048\% |
| KERN | \$17,359,298 | $2.61225523 \%$ |
| KINGS | \$2,795,188 | $0.42062441 \%$ |
| LAKE | \$1,194,276 | $0.17971658 \%$ |
| LASSEN | \$906,148 | $0.13635862 \%$ |
| LOS ANGELES | \$214,544,379 | 32.28498504\% |
| MADERA | \$2,510,584 | 0.37779674\% |
| MARIN | \$2,019,107 | 0.30383849\% |
| MARIPOSA | \$722,703 | 0.10875352\% |
| MENDOCINO | \$3,625,340 | $0.54554702 \%$ |
| MERCED | \$4,871,188 | 0.73302425\% |
| MODOC | \$458,551 | 0.06900350\% |
| MONO | \$468,913 | $0.07056279 \%$ |
| MONTEREY | \$4,641,725 | 0.69849428\% |
| NAPA | \$1,892,530 | $0.28479097 \%$ |
| NEVADA | \$992,672 | $0.14937889 \%$ |
| ORANGE | \$35,622,336 | $5.36050672 \%$ |
| PLACER | \$6,199,223 | 0.93286910\% |
| PLUMAS | \$725,031 | $0.10910384 \%$ |
| RIVERSIDE | \$39,278,074 | $5.91062808 \%$ |
| SACRAMENTO | \$32,981,151 | $4.96305693 \%$ |
| SAN BENITO | \$911,978 | $0.13723592 \%$ |
| SAN BERNARDINO | \$29,562,700 | $4.44864289 \%$ |
| SAN DIEGO | \$52,485,066 | $7.89803759 \%$ |
| SAN FRANCISCO | \$13,223,086 | 1.98983136\% |
| SAN JOAQUIN | \$11,439,015 | $1.72136147 \%$ |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | \$5,793,568 | $0.87182548 \%$ |
| SAN MATEO | \$7,938,042 | $1.19452939 \%$ |
| SANTA BARBARA | \$4,568,814 | 0.68752252\% |
| SANTA CLARA | \$29,492,221 | $4.43803710 \%$ |
| SANTA CRUZ | \$3,265,039 | 0.49132835\% |
| SHASTA | \$3,329,764 | $0.50106827 \%$ |
| SIERRA | \$409,319 | 0.06159498\% |
| SISKIYOU | \$1,064,918 | 0.16025058\% |
| SOLANO | \$4,390,905 | $0.66075048 \%$ |
| SONOMA | \$6,434,098 | $0.96821347 \%$ |
| STANISLAUS | \$8,288,244 | 1.24722836\% |
| SUTTER | \$1,963,882 | $0.29552814 \%$ |
| TEHAMA | \$1,901,344 | $0.28611732 \%$ |
| TRINITY | \$1,055,244 | $0.15879482 \%$ |
| TULARE | \$8,379,340 | 1.26093663\% |
| TUOLUMNE | \$1,000,325 | $0.15053052 \%$ |
| VENTURA | \$6,942,159 | $1.04466731 \%$ |
| YOLO | \$2,217,044 | $0.33362437 \%$ |
| YUBA | \$2,553,944 | $0.38432166 \%$ |
| TOTAL | \$664,532,998 | 100.00000000\% |

## ATTACHMENT E-1a

## CWS BASIC PLUS RELATED PREMISES DESCRIPTION AND METHODOLOGY DISTRIBUTION

## CWS Basic and EA TANF

- In conjunction with CWDA, the distribution of CWS Basic was based on applying the differences between prior year and current year on a percent to total of each county's FY 2010-11 CWS Basic allocations. For EA TANF, the county specific distribution remained at the FY 2010-11 level.


## Specialized Care Incentives and Assistance Program (SCIAP)

- This distribution is based on each county's proportionate share of the total CalWORKs, FC, Foster Family Home (including relatives and non-related legal guardians), and Foster Family Agency certified home placements for calendar year 2010 as reported in the Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC)-FC Caseload Movement and Expenditure Report (CA 237-FC). Distribution was adjusted to ensure that each county would receive a minimum floor. Counties should continue claiming costs using current program codes.


## Federal Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 - Loss of Federal Financial Participation

- This distribution reflects the costs to backfill the loss of Title IV-E funds for children placed in an unlicensed, unapproved, or otherwise unallowable Title IV-E facility, such as hospitals or mental institutions for longer than 30 days. Distribution is based on a percent to total of each county's new out-of-home placements for calendar year 2010.


## CWS/CMS System Support Staff (SSS)

- CWS/CMS SSS is used for county staff and contracted support staff costs associated with county help desk functions dedicated to the support of CWS/CMS equipment and applications, including technical support and assisting with moves and changes. Distribution was based on a percent to statewide total of the most recent 12 months of CWS/CMS SSS expenditures. Costs are shared according to California's federally approved Cost Allocation Plan (CAP), which allocates costs to all benefiting CWS programs based on statewide county worker time study hours. Costs for CWS/CMS SSS should be reported to PC 536, SACWIS - Maintenance \& Operations.


## Minor Parent Investigations (MPI) and Minor Parent Services (MPS)

- The MPI component funds investigations performed by child protective services, and the MPS component assumes that a percentage of minor parents (mostly those at 17 years of age) will be allowed to form their own assistance units. Distribution was


## ATTACHMENT E-1a (continued)

based on the monthly average of cases approved for calendar year 2010, as reported on the Stat 45 Cal-Learn Teen Parent Monthly Status Report. A minimum floor for MPI and MPS was used in the calculation. Costs should be reported to PC 544, CWS - MPI (AB 908) for investigation activities and PC 556, CWS - MPS (AB 908) for services activities.

## FC Infant Rate -SB 500

- This premise is based on the required development of a written "shared responsibility plan" as outlined in SB 500. Distribution was based on a percent to statewide total of each county's calendar year 2010 FC caseload as reported on the CA-237 FC. An adjustment was made to ensure each county would receive a minimum floor. Costs associated with the additional data requirement activities should be reported to PC 148, CWS - Case Mgmt. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate that is calculated on the CEC.


## CWS/CMS Staff Development

- This premise reflects the distribution for new hires, intermediate/advanced training, management and/or supervisory training, SSS training, and database training. The distribution (excluding the Northern Training Lab Consortium [NTLC] and remaining small counties) was calculated by multiplying each county's percentage to the statewide total of the number of User IDs in each county as of June 2011. The distribution for NTLC counties and remaining small counties was based on the hold harmless amount from the FY 2000-01 Appropriation.
- The costs for both social worker and clerical staff development should be claimed as a direct charge. Costs for CWS/CMS Staff Development should be reported to PC 557, CWS/CMS Staff Development to capture federally eligible costs. If applicable, PC 558, CWS/CMS Staff Development - Non-Federal, should be used to capture the non-federal staff development costs.


## Live Scan Technology and Background Checks

- This program provides for the maintenance of the Live Scan machines and conducting background checks prior to placing children with a relative, a prospective guardian, or with any other person who is not a licensed foster parent. All unlicensed relatives or guardian foster parents will be subject to both a Live Scan fingerprint check and a Child Abuse Central Index (CACI) check.
- Program Identifier Number (PIN) 359031, CWS/Live Scan/California Law Enforcement Telecom System (CLETS) Background Checks and Contracted
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## ATTACHMENT E-1a (continued)

Services, captures Live Scan system costs, implementation fees, and ongoing Maintenance and Operations costs. PIN 359068, CWS/Live Scan/CLETS Background Checks, Direct Costs, captures the processing fees. Time Study Code 3591, CWS/Live Scan/CLETS Background Checks, captures staff activities that include, but are not limited to:

Fingerprinting and conducting a search through CACI Access to the Federal Bureau of Investigation and Department of Justice databases when conducting a background check for criminal records of relatives, foster parents, and others for whom criminal record checks are required when the county is considering a foster child placement.

- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's Relative Home/Guardian Home Placements from CWS/CMS for calendar year 2010 with an adjustment to ensure a minimum floor for each county. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 358, that is calculated on the CEC.


## Relative Home Approvals and Registered Sex Offender (RSO) Check

- This premise is associated with conducting an in-home assessment prior to placing children in the home of a relative or the home of a Nonrelated Extended Family Member (NREFM) and conducting an annual visit for continued approval of a relative home. Included are costs associated with the RSO check premise and costs to minimize the risk of predictable and preventable harm to vulnerable children in out-of-home care by detecting the presence/residence of a RSO in prospective and approved licensed facilities and prospective and approved relative/NREFM homes. CWDs will also check all prospective licensure applicants and relative/NREFM homes against the Megan's Law Public Website and investigate all address matches. Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's Relative Home/Guardian Home Placements from CWS/CMS for calendar year 2010.
- Costs should be reported to PC 007, Relative/Non-Relative Home. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 008, that is calculated on the CEC. Costs for RSO services should be reported to PC 709, State Mandates. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 710, that is calculated on the CEC.


## ATTACHMENT E-1a (continued)

## Multiple Relative Home Approvals and Grievance Review for Relative Home Approvals

- This premise reflects the costs associated with conducting additional assessments when more than one relative or NREFM is seeking approval to have related foster children placed with them. Grievance Review for Relative Home Approvals reflect the costs associated with providing a review process upon request for relatives seeking to have related foster children placed with them but who are determined not to have met the approval standards established by law and regulation.
- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's Relative Home Placements from the CWS/CMS for calendar year 2010. Costs for these services should be reported to PC 007, Relative/Non-Relative Home. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 008, that is calculated on the CEC.


## County Self-Assessment and System Improvement Plan (SIP)

- This premise reflects the costs to support additional administrative responsibilities related to the planning and coordination of periodic self-assessments and the annual SIP.
- Distribution was based each county's average cost of a social worker and allocating one quarter of a Full Time Equivalent (FTE) to the small and very small counties, one half of an FTE to the medium counties, and one FTE to the large counties. Costs associated with the additional data requirement activities should be reported to the appropriate existing CWS IV-E Administration PCs.


## Data Requirements for New Activities

- This premise is for costs related to the additional data requirements associated with the new mandated activities counties must accomplish to implement the State's Program Improvement Plan and the California Child and Family Services Review process.
- Distribution was based on each county's Family Maintenance, Family Reunification, and Permanent Placement caseloads, by calculating a cost-per-hour rate based on each county's average cost of a social worker, and assuming an average of one hour per case. Costs associated with the additional data requirement activities should be reported to the appropriate existing CWS IV-E Administration PCs.


## ATTACHMENT E-1a (continued)

## Peer Quality Case Review (PQCR)

- This premise reflects costs associated with preparation, coordination, training, review time, and travel/per diem expenses for staff participating in the PQCR process. The purpose of the PQCR is to learn, through intensive examination of county child welfare practices and how to improve child welfare services and practices, both in the participating county and in other counties.
- Participating counties received reimbursement funds for the travel and per diem costs of social workers traveling from other counties to participate in the reviews. Counties participating in probation reviews received reimbursement funds for the travel and per diem cost of probation officers traveling from other counties. A portion of the distribution was based on each participating county's percent to statewide total of their budgeted FY 2010-11 unit cost.
- Costs for social worker activities should be reported to PC 088, PQCR, and 828, PQCR Non-Title IV-E. The federally funded components will be subject to the nonfederal discount rate, via PC 089, that is calculated on the CEC. Costs associated with probation officer activities should be charged to PC 004, Probation PQCR. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 718, that is calculated on the CEC.


## Statewide Standardized Training

- This premise reflects the additional costs associated with the need for counties to backfill behind county social workers and supervisors who must attend additional training as required under the statewide standardized common core curriculum for all current and new child welfare and probation workers, as well as their supervisors.
- Distribution was based on a percent to statewide total of each county's budgeted FTEs multiplied by the county specific unit cost. Costs associated with the additional data requirement activities should be reported to the appropriate existing CWS IV-E Administration PCs.


## AB 408 Child Relationships (Amended by AB 1412)

- This premise reflects costs for social workers to perform additional activities on every initial and six month case plan and court report for children ten years of age and older in foster care.
- The distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's average monthly caseload of children in foster care aged ten years and older in group homes more than six months for calendar year 2010. An adjustment was applied in order to


## ATTACHMENT E-1a (continued)

ensure a minimum floor for each county. Costs for these services should be reported to PC 147, CWS-Court-Related Act., and PC 148, CWS - Case Mgmt. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate that is calculated on the CEC.

## SB 1667 Caregiver Court Filing

- This premise reflects the cost of county social workers to instruct caregivers on how to file a Caregiver Information Form with the courts at each status review hearing as a result of SB 1667 (Chapter 389, Statutes of 2006).
- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's average monthly Foster Family Home and Relative Home caseload for calendar year 2010. Costs associated with the additional data requirement activities should be reported to the appropriate existing CWS IV-E Administration PCs. Costs for these services should be reported to PC 709, State Mandates. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 710, that is calculated on the CEC.


## AB 1774 Criminal Records Check for Family Reunification (FR)

- This premise reflects costs to conduct background checks on parents wishing to reunify with their child as a result of AB 1774 (Chapter 726, Statutes of 2006).
- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's budgeted FR caseload for FY 2011-12. Costs for these services should be reported to PC 359, CWS Live Scan/CLETS Background. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 358, that is calculated on the CEC.


## Safe and Timely Interstate Placement of the Foster Care Act of 2006

- This premise reflects costs associated with CWS social workers meeting the new 60-day home study time frame requirement of the Safe and Timely Interstate Placement of the Foster Care Act of 2006 (H.R. 5403). Within 60 days, county social workers must complete a study of a home environment in order to assess the safety and suitability of placing a child in a foster or adoptive home and to develop a report of their findings.
- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's average monthly Out-of-State caseload for calendar year 2010. Costs associated with the additional activities should be reported to the appropriate existing CWS IV-E Administration PCs.


## ATTACHMENT E-1a (continued)

## Gomez v. Saenz Court Case

- This premise reflects the costs for county activities related to the Gomez, et al. v. Saenz court settlement. Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's projected social worker caseload for FY 2011-12. Costs should be reported to PC 707, Gomez Grievance Hearings.


## Adam Walsh Child Protection Safety Act

- This premise reflects the costs associated with federal law (P. L. 109-248, known as the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act of 2006), which requires that states check child abuse and neglect registries in each state in which prospective foster or adoptive parents, relative caregivers or NREFM as well as other adults who have resided in the home within the preceding five years prior to approval for placement of a child. This premise also reflects the costs associated with responding to other states' requests for underlying information about child abuse and neglect reports in California.
- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's average relative placements for calendar year 2010. Counties can claim costs associated with the act to the following existing PCs: 007 - Relative/Non-relative Home, 117 -Adoptions-Case Management, 118 - Adoptions Independent - Non-Federal, and 155 - Foster Family Licensing. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 008,118 , and 156 , that are calculated on the CEC.


## AB 1331 Foster Care Supplemental Security Income (SSI)/State Supplementary Program Application

- This premise reflects the costs pursuant to AB 1331 (Chapter 465, Statutes of 2007) that requires counties to screen all foster youth in its care aged 16 and a half and older to determine if they are eligible for federal SSI benefits utilizing the best practice guidelines developed pursuant to AB 1633 (Chapter 641, Statutes of 2005).
- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's out-of-home placements caseload for calendar year 2010. Counties can claim costs associated with the activities to PC 709, State Mandates. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 710, that is calculated on the CEC.


## AB 1512 Health Benefit Determination

- AB 1512 (Chapter 467, Statutes of 2007) requires the county child welfare agency or probation department responsible for the child's placement needs to determine in


## ATTACHMENT E-1a (continued)

consultation with the foster parent, whether a foster child who is currently enrolled in a County Organized Health System (COHS) and placed in an out-of-county placement should remain in the COHS. This bill requires that the determination be made one working day after the out-of-county placement begins. If the decision is to disenroll the child from COHS , the placing county would also be required to request the disenrollment within two working days after the out-of-county placement begins. This bill requires that if the foster child is to be disenrolled from a COHS because of an out-of-county placement, the placing county would request the disenrollment.

- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's average out-of-county placement caseload for FY 2010-11. Counties should claim costs associated with the activities to PC 716, Health Benefit Determination.


## Dual Agency Supplement to the Rate

- This premise reflects the cost to provide a supplemental rate to the existing AAP and the federal AFDC-FC program. Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's Dual Agency Foster Care caseload for calendar year 2010.
- The CDSS and the California Department of Developmental Services have developed a rate-setting methodology for the care and supervision of foster and adoptive children receiving services from both county welfare departments and regional centers. An established rate of \$2,006 for AAP and the federal AFDC-FC program for children over the age of three was approved in SB 84 (Chapter 177, Statutes of 2008). The SB 84 criteria for children eligible for the supplement to the rate and the instructions to administer the program were released in ACL 08-54 on December 1, 2008.


## Personalized Transition Plan (PTP) (P.L. 110-351)

- This premise reflects costs associated with the federal Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act (P.L. 110-351, HR 6893). Section 202 of this federal bill mandates states to provide the foster child one final Transitional Independent Living Plan (TILP) within 90 days prior to emancipating from foster care. Counties who elect to use probation officers to develop a PTP must have a Memoranda of Understanding in place to contract activities associated with developing such a plan.
- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's total children in foster care (ages 16-20) caseload for calendar year 2010. Administrative costs for foster care can be captured using PC 730 - PC 110-351 IV-E Training. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 731, that


# ATTACHMENT E-1a (continued) 

is calculated on the CEC. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 166.

## Increase Funding for Caseworker Visits

- This premise reflects the costs associated with the new federal law (P.L. 109-288, known as the Child and Family Services Improvement Act of 2006) which requires states to increase the percentage of foster children that are visited monthly to 90 percent by the year 2011 in order to continue receiving full Title IV-B funding. In addition, a majority of those visits must occur in the child's home.
- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's Foster Family Agency Out of State placements, Foster Family Home (excluding Foster Family Agency and Group Home), and Children in Out-of-Home Placements (out of county) welfare supervised caseloads for calendar year 2009. Counties are instructed to use their PSSF grant before using Title IV-E funds. Administrative costs associated with Increase Funding for Caseworker Visits can be captured using existing PC 677PSSF Monthly Caseworker Visits. All GF expenditures will be transferred to countyonly share via appropriate SUO code 684, 734, and ultimately via SUO code 166.


## Federal National Youth in Transition Database (NYTD)

- This premise reflects the costs associated with submitting demographic and outcome data on foster youth who receive ILP services and those who age-out of foster care. All states are required to submit this data pursuant to the final regulations from the federal Administration for Children and Families (ACF) regarding implementation of the NYTD.
- The principle data collection method for NYTD is a survey of current and former foster youth ages 17, 19, and 21 years old. Counties are required to inform 17 year olds in foster care of the purpose and scope of the survey, maintain periodic contact with former foster youth to meet federal response requirements, and secure consent forms from youth for participation in the follow-up survey. Costs will cover the social worker or probation officer time spent engaging youth.
- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's minors turning 17 in out-of-home placement caseload for calendar year 2010. Administrative costs can be captured using PC 148, CWS - Case Mgmt. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate that is calculated on the CEC. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 166.


## ATTACHMENT E-1a (continued)

## Increase Family Case Planning Meetings to Improve Child Welfare Outcomes

- This premise reflects the cost to provide counties with the funding necessary to increase efforts to engage families and youth in case planning to meet the requirements of the state's federal Program Improvement Plan. This premise will fund additional social worker positions throughout California to increase the number of facilitated case planning meetings that include parents, extended family members, community service providers and others in order to strengthen reunifications and decrease foster care re-entries.
- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's exits from foster care reunified caseload for calendar year 2010. Costs can be captured using PC 732, Increase Family Case Planning. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate that is calculated on the CEC. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 166.


## Notification of Relatives

- This premise reflects the cost to notify relatives when a child has been removed from parental custody as mandated by the federal Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act (P.L. 110-351) which was signed into law on October 7, 2008.
- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's new out-of-home placements for FY 2010-11. Administrative costs can be captured using PC 148, CWS - Case Mgmt. The federally funded components will be subject to the nonfederal discount rate that is calculated on the CEC. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 166.


## Increase Relative Search and Engagement

- This premise reflects the cost to provide counties with resources necessary to increase family finding and engagement efforts statewide in compliance with the state's federal Program Improvement Plan. The additional resources would facilitate the location of relatives as a placement option for children who are not currently placed with relatives upon entry into foster care, and would establish strong familial connections for youth in non-relative placements approaching emancipation.
- Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's Non-relative Guardian/Non-relative Non-guardian placements for calendar year 2009 and Nonrelative home placements for kids 16 and older for calendar year 2009. Costs are captured using PC 733, IRSAE. The federally funded components will be subject to


## ATTACHMENT E-1a (continued)

the non-federal discount rate that is calculated on the CEC. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 166.

## Resource Family Approval Pilot

- This premise reflects the cost to implement a three-year pilot program in up to five counties to establish a single, comprehensive, resource, family, pilot, approval process for foster care and adoption. Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's average relative and guardian placements for calendar year 2010.


## Educational Stability

- This premise reflects the costs associated with reimbursing foster caregivers for travel expenses related to educational travel. The Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act (P.L. 110-351) requires states to develop a plan to ensure the educational stability of a child in foster care. Distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's monthly Foster Care placements during May 2011. Costs are captured using PC's 829, Educational Stability Fed, PC 830, Educational Stability Non-Fed. The federally funded components will be subject to the non-federal discount rate, via PC 832, that is calculated on the CEC.


## Foster Care Placement and Proximity to School of Origin

- This premise reflects costs for social workers to enter school transfer information and educational progress into a child's health and education passport (or comparable format) upon their placement into foster care. SB 1353 (Chapter 557, Statutes of 2010) required that efforts be made to select a school setting that is in close proximity to a child's home, promotes educational stability by considering its location in or near the child's school of origin or attendance area, and provides an opportunity to delay any necessary school transfer to the summer or normal matriculation schedule. Distribution was based on a percent to total of annual foster care entries during calendar year 2010.


## Sibling Placement (AB 743)

- This premise reflects the costs associated with Sibling Placement as outlined in AB 743 (Chapter 560, Statues of 2010) and additional mandatory provisions of the Fostering Connections and Increasing Adoptions Act of 2008 (P. L. 110-351) regarding the placement of siblings who are removed from their home, by requiring that a diligent effort be made to place sibling together, or if siblings are not placed together, to provide for ongoing and frequent interaction between the siblings, unless doing either of those would be contrary to any of the siblings' safety or well-being.
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## ATTACHMENT E-1a (continued)

Distribution was based on a percent to total of monthly Foster Care placements by facility type during December 2010.

Child Welfare Outcome Improvement Plan (CWSOIP) Augmentation

- Distribution was based on each county's percent to total of budgeted FTEs. The CWSOIP Augmentation can be accessed through the normal CWS claiming process on the CEC.


## CWS Augmentation

- This premise reflects costs appropriated for the CWS Augmentation allocation. Of this total, $\$ 5.4$ million consists of Title XX funds transferred from the TANF block grant. Distribution was based on the individual county's percent to total of budgeted FTEs inclusive of a minimum floor. Please refer to Attachment E-1e on page 53 for listing of PCs for the CWS program. The expenditures charged against these codes are tracked on the CEC. As previously stated, the allocation letter for Title XX will be released separately.


## ATTACHMENT E-1b

## CWS BASIC PLUS RELATED PREMISES COST DISTRIBUTION
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## ATTACHMENT E-1b (continued)
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## ATTACHMENT E-1b (continued)
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## ATTACHMENT E-1b (continued)
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## ATTACHMENT E-1b (continued)




1
Miththlund

## ATTACHMENT E-1b (continued)




## ATTACHMENT E-1b (continued)
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## ATTACHMENT E－1b（continued）

| 喕管 |  |  | $\mathscr{A}$ |  |  |  |  |  | BG: | \％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br>  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |  | 发8 |  | 夺 |  |  |  |
| 完 |  $\leftrightarrow \mathscr{4}$ \＆ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 8 \% \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 为式家 |  |  |  | 気要家 | song M M |  |
| 茺 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 雨家 | $8 \%$ |  |  |
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## ATTACHMENT E-1b (continued)



1
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## ATTACHMENT E-1b (continued)



夏
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## ATTACHMENT E-1b (continued)




## ATTACHMENT E-1b (continued)




## ATTACHMENT E-1c CWS AND CWSOIP AUGMENTATION DISTRIBUTION






## CWS BASIC AND EA TANF FEDERAL FUNDS - for display only

| County | TOTAL <br> Federal Funds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Title IV-B } \\ & \text { Funds } \\ & \text { CFDA \#: } 93645 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Title XIX } \\ & \text { Funds } \\ & \text { CFDA \#: } 93778 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Title XX } \\ \text { Funds } \\ \text { CFDA \#: } 93667 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Title IV-E } \\ & \text { Funds } \\ & \text { CFDA \#: } 93658 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TANF } \\ \text { Funds } \\ \text { CFDA \#: } 93558 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Alpine | \$184,045 | \$12,541 | \$22,381 | \$1,511 | \$133,897 | \$13,715 |
| Amador | \$254,901 | \$15,560 | \$32,859 | \$25,782 | \$175,867 | \$4,833 |
| Butte | \$5,231,660 | \$233,272 | \$624,632 | \$603,979 | \$3,291,828 | \$477,949 |
| Calaveras | \$776,979 | \$39,784 | \$81,270 | \$57,403 | \$440,045 | \$158,477 |
| Colusa | \$384,836 | \$15,646 | \$43,019 | \$23,405 | \$245,320 | \$57,446 |
| Contra Costra | \$12,450,592 | \$685,852 | \$1,018,799 | \$1,076,597 | \$4,972,238 | \$4,697,106 |
| Del Norte | \$848,639 | \$43,324 | \$89,836 | \$73,235 | \$477,296 | \$164,948 |
| El Dorado | \$2,422,826 | \$141,488 | \$247,843 | \$223,474 | \$1,273,384 | \$536,637 |
| Fresno | \$15,896,218 | \$804,483 | \$1,501,226 | \$2,012,044 | \$7,107,209 | \$4,471,256 |
| Glenn | \$815,849 | \$39,743 | \$62,047 | \$64,171 | \$306,246 | \$343,642 |
| Humboldt | \$3,210,585 | \$131,587 | \$255,944 | \$216,286 | \$1,344,022 | \$1,262,746 |
| Imperial | \$3,351,073 | \$264,718 | \$372,146 | \$361,133 | \$1,834,190 | \$518,886 |
| Inyo | \$293,973 | \$16,909 | \$30,070 | \$13,245 | \$168,621 | \$65,128 |
| Kern | \$21,347,278 | \$1,057,608 | \$2,441,307 | \$1,706,068 | \$13,374,394 | \$2,767,901 |
| Kings | \$1,564,594 | \$121,587 | \$132,009 | \$304,185 | \$446,864 | \$559,949 |
| Lake | \$1,230,287 | \$41,397 | \$124,778 | \$126,098 | \$657,337 | \$280,677 |
| Lassen | \$626,473 | \$30,063 | \$65,275 | \$34,732 | \$366,699 | \$129,704 |
| Los Angeles | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Madera | \$2,102,108 | \$104,468 | \$219,978 | \$194,226 | \$1,155,455 | \$427,981 |
| Marin | \$1,809,601 | \$61,872 | \$110,799 | \$47,563 | \$622,990 | \$966,377 |
| Mariposa | \$393,302 | \$14,769 | \$39,193 | \$29,446 | \$214,864 | \$95,030 |
| Mendocino | \$4,052,361 | \$153,564 | \$383,975 | \$191,027 | \$2,193,645 | \$1,130,150 |
| Merced | \$4,673,843 | \$279,171 | \$456,223 | \$464,320 | \$2,272,333 | \$1,201,796 |
| Modoc | \$185,973 | \$8,786 | \$20,445 | \$6,040 | \$120,325 | \$30,377 |
| Mono | \$182,259 | \$12,023 | \$16,182 | \$6,918 | \$88,030 | \$59,106 |
| Monterey | \$4,269,311 | \$226,014 | \$412,355 | \$347,293 | \$2,152,531 | \$1,131,118 |
| Napa | \$756,725 | \$45,907 | \$42,850 | \$78,980 | \$158,370 | \$430,618 |
| Nevada | \$774,389 | \$34,502 | \$71,041 | \$45,301 | \$389,805 | \$233,740 |
| Orange | \$37,210,455 | \$2,264,103 | \$2,126,561 | \$2,797,128 | \$8,996,231 | \$21,026,432 |
| Placer | \$5,218,489 | \$317,851 | \$417,075 | \$200,074 | \$2,239,117 | \$2,044,372 |
| Plumas | \$381,000 | \$26,000 | \$49,675 | \$44,875 | \$257,494 | \$2,956 |
| Riverside | \$51,010,982 | \$2,903,966 | \$5,616,408 | \$3,624,702 | \$30,598,151 | \$8,267,755 |
| Sacramento | \$42,746,988 | \$2,253,495 | \$3,985,440 | \$2,999,572 | \$21,092,370 | \$12,416,111 |
| San Benito | \$839,982 | \$55,658 | \$78,491 | \$79,437 | \$383,760 | \$242,636 |
| San Bernardino | \$34,935,151 | \$1,741,488 | \$3,721,875 | \$3,282,690 | \$19,578,981 | \$6,610,117 |
| San Diego | \$55,542,449 | \$3,365,683 | \$4,764,989 | \$3,612,623 | \$24,520,274 | \$19,278,880 |
| San Francisco | \$10,841,271 | \$471,120 | \$1,176,826 | \$1,100,398 | \$6,207,799 | \$1,885,128 |
| San Joaquin | \$12,339,096 | \$666,393 | \$973,062 | \$982,200 | \$4,783,756 | \$4,933,685 |
| San Luis Obispo | \$4,739,413 | \$201,183 | \$411,733 | \$288,100 | \$2,232,442 | \$1,605,955 |
| San Mateo | \$7,988,495 | \$374,804 | \$434,065 | \$286,900 | \$2,207,651 | \$4,685,075 |
| Santa Barbara | \$5,744,623 | \$342,624 | \$514,256 | \$486,808 | \$2,570,020 | \$1,830,915 |
| Santa Clara | \$25,205,607 | \$1,460,773 | \$2,243,605 | \$1,573,060 | \$11,797,341 | \$8,130,828 |
| Santa Cruz | \$3,649,941 | \$161,948 | \$240,555 | \$170,731 | \$1,257,492 | \$1,819,215 |
| Shasta | \$3,549,183 | \$169,162 | \$318,041 | \$492,399 | \$1,440,824 | \$1,128,757 |
| Sierra | \$116,236 | \$10,053 | \$14,489 | \$11,371 | \$74,356 | \$5,967 |
| Siskiyou | \$911,688 | \$43,878 | \$86,607 | \$113,249 | \$415,381 | \$252,573 |
| Solano | \$3,813,156 | \$184,619 | \$286,402 | \$304,260 | \$1,404,360 | \$1,633,515 |
| Sonoma | \$8,323,819 | \$317,969 | \$606,451 | \$417,509 | \$3,273,420 | \$3,708,470 |
| Stanislaus | \$6,822,678 | \$400,091 | \$658,058 | \$459,034 | \$3,490,912 | \$1,814,583 |
| Sutter | \$1,500,051 | \$62,652 | \$174,332 | \$90,698 | \$999,055 | \$173,314 |
| Tehama | \$1,323,510 | \$54,422 | \$115,506 | \$128,348 | \$580,773 | \$444,461 |
| Trinity | \$398,860 | \$14,879 | \$49,850 | \$29,488 | \$285,164 | \$19,479 |
| Tulare | \$6,770,567 | \$410,326 | \$761,483 | \$799,717 | \$3,823,680 | \$975,361 |
| Tuolumne | \$904,498 | \$29,139 | \$87,250 | \$61,938 | \$485,685 | \$240,486 |
| Ventura | \$8,830,051 | \$469,894 | \$885,820 | \$487,110 | \$4,898,639 | \$2,088,588 |
| Yolo | \$2,653,971 | \$136,528 | \$245,881 | \$259,052 | \$1,229,799 | \$782,711 |
| Yuba | \$2,073,162 | \$79,945 | \$226,789 | \$114,067 | \$1,305,177 | \$347,184 |
| TOTAL | \$436,476,052 | \$23,627,284 | \$40,190,057 | \$33,632,000 | \$208,413,909 | \$130,612,802 |
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## ATTACHMENT E-1e

## CWS PROGRAM CODES

| 004 | Probation PQCR |
| :--- | :--- |
| 007 | Relative/Non-Relative Home Approvals |
| 077 | CWS Basic Non-Fed |
| 088 | Peer Quality Case Review |
| 100 | Special Care Incen \& Assist Prog |
| 106 | EA-CO OP-ESC (1-30 days) |
| 107 | EA-CO OP-ESC (over 30) |
| 126 | Shasta's Children's Programs Consortia |
| 134 | EA-Contracted-ESC (1-30 days) |
| 136 | EA-Contracted-ESC (over 30) |
| 138 | CWS-SPMP |
| 143 | CWS Intake |
| 144 | CWS-Health Related |
| 145 | CWS-Training |
| 147 | CWS-Court-Related Activities |
| 148 | CWS - Case Mgmt |
| 176 | Title IV-E CAP Develop |
| 359 | CWS Live Scan/CLETS Background Checks |
| 513 | EA-ER |

520 EA-Crisis Resolution
536 SACWIS-M \& 0
544 CWS - MPI (AB 908)
556 CWS - MPS (AB 908)
557 CWS/CMS Staff Development
558 CWS/CMS Staff Development-NF
575 CWS-Training Admin
677 PSSF Monthly Caseworker Visits
707 Gomez Grievance Hearings
709 State Mandates Federal
710 State Mandates Non-Fed
716 Health Benefit Determination
730 P.L. 110-351 IV-E Training
732 Increase Fmly Case Planning
733 IRSAE
828 PQCR Non Title IV-E
829 Educational Travel Reimb - Fed
830 Educational Travel Reimb - Non Fed

## STATE USE ONLY:

008 Relative/Non-Relative Home Approvals
024 Shasta's Children's Programs Consortia NF
051 Group Home Monthly Visits (CWS)
089 Peer Quality Case Review
120 CWS Augment Title XX O/M
122 CWS Augment SGF
146 CWS-Services/Nonfederal
164 CWS-IV-B-146
166 CWS 146
171 CWS Title XX To Ledgers
196 EA / IV-E Cost Shift (Pull Costs)
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## Section F - Foster Care (FC) Administration Subaccount

The FC Administration subaccount will be used to fund administrative costs for the FC program as described in statute and regulations, including Federal Kinship Guardianship Assistance Payment (Fed-GAP) program, Emergency Assistance Foster Care Welfare (EA-FC), and the Title IV-E Waiver FC related costs. Attachment F-1 on page 57 displays the county specific distribution included in this subaccount for the following programs. For the Title IV-E Waiver related FC administrative costs, refer to Attachment I on page 62.

## FC Administration

The FC administration reflects the costs associated with the administration of the FC program. Attachment F -1a on page 58 provides the breakdown of all the following premises included in this component.

FC Administrative Basic - includes the basic, caseload adjustment, staff development and the administrative costs for AAP, Attachment F-1b on page 59 provides the distribution detail for each of the components that are part of the FC administration Basic:

Caseload Reduction - The distribution was based on the percent to total of each county's average monthly caseload change from calendar year 2009 to 2010 from the CA237FC. Only those counties with caseload reduction were adjusted.

Staff Development - The distribution was calculated by multiplying each county's average percent to total of the four most recent quarters (June 2010 through March 2011) FC staff development expenditures and the actual average FC FTEs eligibility workers extracted from Cost-of-DoingBusiness Survey. An adjustment was made to the distribution to fund the state share of costs for staff training among the 38 counties of the Inter-County Policy and Planning Committee which contracts with the University of California, Davis.

AAP - The distribution was based on the percent to total of each county's total average monthly caseload from the most recent 12 months (June 2010 through May 2011), as provided by the CDSS Adoptions Services Bureau. This
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distribution also includes the AAP administrative cost from AB 12.

Shift Seriously Emotionally Disturbed (SED) Responsibility to the California Department of Education (CDE) - Proposition 98 - This premise reflects savings associated with shifting the responsibility of SED cases to CDE. The savings distribution was based on the percent to total of each county's average monthly SED caseload from calendar year 2010.

Foster Care Reforms - This premise reflects an estimated savings due to the reduction of annual FC eligibility redeterminations. The distribution was based on the percent to total of each county's average monthly caseload for calendar year 2010 from the CA237-FC.

Extend Foster Care, Kin-GAP, and AAP Benefits (AB 12) - FC Admin Impact - This premise reflects the savings associated with the implementation of $A B 12$ (Chapter 559, Statutes of 2010). The distribution was based on the percent to total of each county's average monthly caseload for calendar year 2010 from the CA237-FC.

Reassessment Eligibility Relief - AB 1905 - This premise reflects the administrative cost to allow foster family relative or nonrelatives extended family members to continue to receive AFDC-FC payments during their annual home reassessment process. The distribution was based on the percent to statewide total of each county's average monthly AFDC-FC Children in Relative Homes caseload in a six month period ending December 2010, as provided by the CDSS Child Welfare Data Analysis Bureau.

The expenditures associated with the FC Administration should be claimed on the CEC to PCs 230 - Adoptions Assistance IV-E, PC 345 - AFDC Foster Care Eligibility, PC 300 - STEP Eligibility Determination. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 612.

## EA-FC:

The EA-FC reflects the costs for benefits and services granted to children and families in emergency situations. The distribution was based on the percent to total of the counties' GF expenditures for the four most recent quarters (June 2010 through March 2011).

The expenditures associated with the EA FC will be claimed on the CEC to PC 223. All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 199.
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## Fed-GAP Administration:

The Fed-GAP Administration reflects the administrative costs for the federally funded Kin-GAP program, including case assessment, Title IV-E eligibility determination, and social worker visits to the home of the guardian. For information on the new Kin-GAP programmatic requirements, refer to ACL No.11-15, dated January 31, 2011, and the Errata, dated June 8, 2011. However, Title IV-E funds have yet to be allocated and payment of claims is contingent upon approval of the Title IV-E State Plan. The distribution was based on multiplying two caseworker hours per incoming Kin-GAP average monthly caseload (as reported on Kin-GAP Caseload Movement Report [CA 237 KG]) and six caseworker hours per existing Kin-GAP average monthly caseload (as reported by counties on the Assistance Claim [CA 800] form). These caseloads cover the most recent available 12 months (April 2010 through March 2011). A minimum floor was applied.

To monitor the expenditures associated with the Fed-GAP Administration will be claimed on the CEC to PC 747 (Kin-GAP Title IV-E Eligible). All GF expenditures will be transferred to county-only share via SUO code 815. A new ledger will be established to track Federal Kin-GAP administrative expenditures charged to PC 747. A new SUO code for the non-federal discount rate will be established upon approval of the Title IV-E State Plan.
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ATTACHMENT F-1
FOSTER CARE ADMINISTRATION SUBACCOUNT

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTIES | FC ADMIN DISTRIBUTION | EA FC DISTRIBUTION | FEDGAP ADMIN DISTRIBUTION | TITLEIV-E WAIVER <br> FC ADMIN ONLY DISTRIBUTION | TITLEIV-EWAIVER <br> ALLOC-FC ONLY <br> NON-WAIVER DISTRIBUTION | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { FC ADMIN } \\ \text { DISTRIBUTION } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEPT } 2011 \\ \text { FC ADMIN } \\ \% \text { age DISTRIBUTION } \end{gathered}$ |
| ALAMEDA | \$0 | \$440,172 | \$61,393 | \$2,029,454 | \$236,000 | \$2,767,019 | $7.21841312 \%$ |
| ALPINE | \$5,657 | \$0 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$6,657 | 0.01736633\% |
| AMADOR | \$12,834 | \$0 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$13,834 | $0.03608921 \%$ |
| BUTTE | \$155,017 | \$44,257 | \$9,547 |  |  | \$208,821 | 0.54475818\% |
| CALAVERAS | \$14,508 | \$8,023 | \$1,007 |  |  | \$23,538 | 0.06140435\% |
| COLUSA | \$6,736 | \$0 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$7,736 | 0.02018116\% |
| CONTRA COSTA | \$547,519 | \$123,287 | \$28,964 |  |  | \$699,770 | 1.82551293\% |
| DEL NORTE | \$24,563 | \$991 | \$1,087 |  |  | \$26,641 | 0.06949925\% |
| El DORADO | \$56,151 | \$1,678 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$58,829 | $0.15346914 \%$ |
| FRESNO | \$775,524 | \$141,709 | \$54,182 |  |  | \$971,415 | 2.53416214\% |
| GLENN | \$25,299 | \$4,418 | \$1,772 |  |  | \$31,489 | 0.08214639\% |
| HUMBOLDT | \$73,301 | \$18,961 | \$1,571 |  |  | \$93,833 | 0.24478522\% |
| IMPERIAL | \$26,509 | \$14,162 | \$11,360 |  |  | \$52,031 | 0.13573497\% |
| INYO | \$18,809 | \$0 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$19,809 | 0.05167639\% |
| KERN | \$465,088 | \$177,071 | \$37,585 |  |  | \$679,744 | 1.77327044\% |
| KINGS | \$55,294 | \$5,300 | \$14,745 |  |  | \$75,339 | 0.19653932\% |
| LAKE | \$22,080 | \$10,142 | \$1,692 |  |  | \$33,914 | 0.08847256\% |
| LASSEN | \$25,147 | \$0 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$26,147 | 0.06821054\% |
| LOS ANGELES | \$0 | \$1,570,800 | \$806,040 | \$12,846,334 | \$2,619,000 | \$17,842,174 | 46.54546390\% |
| MADERA | \$49,238 | \$3,693 | \$2,617 |  |  | \$55,548 | 0.14490989\% |
| MARIN | \$42,893 | \$2,473 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$46,366 | 0.12095650\% |
| MARIPOSA | \$7,810 | \$0 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$8,810 | $0.02298294 \%$ |
| MENDOCINO | \$70,857 | \$33,913 | \$6,001 |  |  | \$110,771 | 0.28897194\% |
| MERCED | \$115,896 | \$48,783 | \$3,625 |  |  | \$168,304 | 0.43906016\% |
| MODOC | \$4,166 | \$0 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$5,166 | 0.01347671\% |
| MONO | \$6,325 | \$0 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$7,325 | 0.01910897\% |
| MONTEREY | \$213,097 | \$8,040 | \$4,793 |  |  | \$225,930 | 0.58939099\% |
| NAPA | \$60,306 | \$6,161 | \$1,330 |  |  | \$67,797 | 0.17686426\% |
| NEVADA | \$24,425 | \$931 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$26,356 | 0.06875576\% |
| ORANGE | \$781,622 | \$656,162 | \$42,298 |  |  | \$1,480,082 | $3.86113841 \%$ |
| PLACER | \$131,887 | \$231,732 | \$3,464 |  |  | \$367,083 | 0.95762145\% |
| PLUMAS | \$17,510 | \$11,771 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$30,281 | 0.07899504\% |
| RIVERSIDE | \$1,479,836 | \$312,124 | \$127,379 |  |  | \$1,919,339 | 5.00704253\% |
| SACRAMENTO | \$1,461,645 | \$100,189 | \$67,233 |  |  | \$1,629,067 | 4.24980046\% |
| SAN BENITO | \$12,291 | \$0 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$13,291 | 0.03467267\% |
| SAN BERNARDINO | \$1,181,974 | \$346,925 | \$92,976 |  |  | \$1,621,875 | 4.23103845\% |
| SAN DIEGO | \$1,206,650 | \$163,366 | \$57,525 |  |  | \$1,427,541 | $3.72407298 \%$ |
| SAN FRANCISCO | \$924,602 | \$42,514 | \$33,999 |  |  | \$1,001,115 | $2.61164150 \%$ |
| SAN JOAQUIN | \$240,636 | \$24,931 | \$14,422 |  |  | \$279,989 | 0.73041648\% |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | \$136,606 | \$83,775 | \$5,761 |  |  | \$226,142 | $0.58994404 \%$ |
| SAN MATEO | \$316,485 | \$147,777 | \$4,553 |  |  | \$468,815 | 1.22301305\% |
| SANTA BARBARA | \$188,104 | \$155,054 | \$3,223 |  |  | \$346,381 | 0.90361546\% |
| SANTA CLARA | \$849,716 | \$155,071 | \$37,263 |  |  | \$1,042,050 | $2.71842998 \%$ |
| SANTA CRUZ | \$201,468 | \$72,320 | \$2,497 |  |  | \$276,285 | 0.72075373\% |
| SHASTA | \$106,393 | \$65,397 | \$5,518 |  |  | \$177,308 | 0.46254919\% |
| SIERRA | \$7,753 | \$0 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$8,753 | 0.02283424\% |
| SISKIYOU | \$21,326 | \$0 | \$1,893 |  |  | \$23,219 | 0.06057217\% |
| SOLANO | \$192,247 | \$34,071 | \$4,351 |  |  | \$230,669 | 0.60175378\% |
| SONOMA | \$93,967 | \$47,830 | \$7,613 |  |  | \$149,410 | 0.38977076\% |
| STANISLAUS | \$226,617 | \$0 | \$3,947 |  |  | \$230,564 | 0.60147986\% |
| SUTTER | \$40,127 | \$1,699 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$42,826 | $0.11172159 \%$ |
| TEHAMA | \$44,837 | \$2,222 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$48,059 | $0.12537309 \%$ |
| TRINITY | \$6,906 | \$7,958 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$15,864 | 0.04138494\% |
| TULARE | \$288,920 | \$34,278 | \$35,129 |  |  | \$358,327 | 0.93477939\% |
| TUOLUMNE | \$23,912 | \$953 | \$1,000 |  |  | \$25,865 | 0.06747487\% |
| VENTURA | \$248,945 | \$65,026 | \$14,260 |  |  | \$328,231 | 0.85626696\% |
| YOLO | \$120,167 | \$14,701 | \$2,377 |  |  | \$137,245 | 0.35803553\% |
| YUBA | \$60,802 | \$4,189 | \$1,008 |  |  | \$65,999 | $0.17217374 \%$ |
| TOTAL | \$13,519,000 | \$5,447,000 | \$1,636,000 | \$14,875,788 | \$2,855,000 | \$38,332,788 | 100.00000000\% |
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ATTACHMENT F-1a
FY 2011-12 FOSTER CARE ADMINISTRATION BASIC DETAIL

| COUNTY | BASIC | CASELOAD <br> REDUCTION | STAFF <br> DEVELOPMENT | AAP | TO TAL ADMIN BASIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Alpine | \$4,925 | \$0 | \$102 | \$630 | \$5,657 |
| Amador | \$11,014 | \$0 | \$153 | \$5,549 | \$16,716 |
| Butte | \$101,225 | $(\$ 8,897)$ | \$665 | \$91,489 | \$184,482 |
| Calaveras | \$9,549 | $(\$ 2,022)$ | \$13 | \$9,526 | \$17,066 |
| Colusa | \$7,347 | $(\$ 2,022)$ | \$560 | \$4,394 | \$10,279 |
| Contra Costa | \$513,265 | (\$70,773) | \$2,288 | \$193,553 | \$638,333 |
| Del Norte | \$14,047 | \$0 | \$243 | \$20,201 | \$34,491 |
| El Dorado | \$63,039 | (\$21,838) | \$2,765 | \$29,521 | \$73,487 |
| Fresno | \$667,090 | $(\$ 42,057)$ | \$4,617 | \$238,880 | \$868,530 |
| Glenn | \$19,537 | $(\$ 1,213)$ | \$749 | \$11,199 | \$30,272 |
| Humboldt | \$51,322 | \$0 | \$1,470 | \$35,382 | \$88,174 |
| Imperial | \$50,019 | (\$44,082) | \$237 | \$29,415 | \$35,589 |
| Inyo | \$19,053 | \$0 | \$1,103 | \$500 | \$20,656 |
| Kern | \$304,748 | $(\$ 78,053)$ | \$1,628 | \$335,076 | \$563,399 |
| Kings | \$27,991 | (\$405) | \$222 | \$39,152 | \$66,960 |
| Lake | \$24,750 | $(\$ 10,919)$ | \$810 | \$21,043 | \$35,684 |
| Lassen | \$16,942 | (\$405) | \$3,053 | \$8,377 | \$27,967 |
| Los Angeles * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Madera | \$31,139 | \$0 | \$280 | \$35,070 | \$66,489 |
| Marin | \$58,230 | $(\$ 7,279)$ | \$1,466 | \$16,855 | \$69,272 |
| Mariposa | \$5,201 | $(\$ 1,213)$ | \$680 | \$4,082 | \$8,750 |
| Mendocino | \$65,519 | $(\$ 6,875)$ | \$279 | \$32,973 | \$91,896 |
| Merced | \$85,080 | $(\$ 2,832)$ | \$643 | \$57,574 | \$140,465 |
| Modoc | \$3,333 | (\$405) | \$171 | \$1,255 | \$4,354 |
| Mono | \$6,874 | (\$809) | \$135 | \$500 | \$6,700 |
| Monterey | \$194,723 | $(\$ 31,140)$ | \$900 | \$75,471 | \$239,954 |
| Napa | \$55,451 | \$0 | \$563 | \$12,878 | \$68,892 |
| Nevada | \$19,006 | \$0 | \$664 | \$11,829 | \$31,499 |
| Orange | \$714,735 | $(\$ 86,141)$ | \$4,019 | \$481,629 | \$1,114,242 |
| Placer | \$107,464 | \$0 | \$331 | \$47,318 | \$155,113 |
| Plumas | \$12,882 | \$0 | \$1,154 | \$5,655 | \$19,691 |
| Riverside | \$1,145,677 | $(\$ 80,884)$ | \$19,447 | \$585,678 | \$1,669,918 |
| Sacramento | \$1,351,472 | $(\$ 201,807)$ | \$4,262 | \$551,033 | \$1,704,960 |
| San Benito | \$14,347 | $(\$ 7,684)$ | \$1,196 | \$8,165 | \$16,024 |
| San Bernardino | \$991,365 | $(\$ 105,149)$ | \$5,024 | \$501,100 | \$1,392,340 |
| San Diego | \$989,566 | \$0 | \$5,634 | \$745,293 | \$1,740,493 |
| San Francisco | \$895,549 | $(\$ 54,192)$ | \$3,636 | \$137,965 | \$982,958 |
| San Joaquin | \$165,245 | $(\$ 74,009)$ | \$1,214 | \$211,244 | \$303,694 |
| San Luis Obispo | \$109,517 | \$0 | \$517 | \$54,016 | \$164,050 |
| San Mateo | \$356,370 | $(\$ 36,398)$ | \$6,455 | \$51,818 | \$378,245 |
| Santa Barbara | \$165,937 | $(\$ 16,581)$ | \$863 | \$62,599 | \$212,818 |
| Santa Clara | \$820,688 | $(\$ 112,833)$ | \$6,683 | \$271,958 | \$986,496 |
| Santa Cruz | \$156,768 | \$0 | \$1,399 | \$54,016 | \$212,183 |
| Shasta | \$40,619 | $(\$ 9,302)$ | \$595 | \$96,827 | \$128,739 |
| Sierra | \$6,920 | \$0 | \$633 | \$500 | \$8,053 |
| Siskiyou | \$19,768 | $(\$ 9,302)$ | \$1,650 | \$15,912 | \$28,028 |
| Solano | \$172,799 | $(\$ 3,235)$ | \$902 | \$50,458 | \$220,924 |
| Sonoma | \$79,831 | \$0 | \$960 | \$68,773 | \$149,564 |
| Stanislaus | \$141,072 | (\$809) | \$560 | \$112,844 | \$253,667 |
| Sutter | \$14,393 | \$0 | \$508 | \$34,228 | \$49,129 |
| Tehama | \$28,152 | \$0 | \$381 | \$25,332 | \$53,865 |
| Trinity | \$3,817 | \$0 | \$487 | \$4,294 | \$8,598 |
| Tulare | \$207,179 | $(\$ 42,464)$ | \$865 | \$154,194 | \$319,774 |
| Tuolumne | \$21,463 | $(\$ 1,213)$ | \$334 | \$16,119 | \$36,703 |
| Ventura | \$248,871 | $(\$ 3,235)$ | \$2,502 | \$96,303 | \$344,441 |
| Yolo | \$87,962 | $(\$ 20,221)$ | \$828 | \$78,823 | \$147,392 |
| Yuba | \$35,153 | $(\$ 9,302)$ | \$502 | \$45,532 | \$71,885 |
| TOTAL | \$11,536,000 | $\mathbf{( \$ 1 , 2 0 8 , 0 0 0 )}$ | \$100,000 | \$5,892,000 | \$16,320,000 |

*The distribution for these two counties is displayed in the Title IV-E Waiver Section of this CFL.
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FY 2011-12 FOSTER CARE(FC) administrativefund distribution

| COUNTY | FC ADMIN BASIC | PROP 98 SAVINGS | FC REFORMS | AB 12 IMPACT SAVINGS | AB 1905 | TOTAL FC ADMIN DISTRIBUTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Alpine | \$5,657 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,657 |
| Amador | \$16,716 | $(\$ 2,340)$ | $(\$ 1,378)$ | (\$164) | \$0 | \$12,834 |
| Butte | \$184,482 | $(\$ 5,852)$ | (\$21,095) | $(\$ 2,518)$ | \$0 | \$155,017 |
| Calaveras | \$17,066 | \$0 | $(\$ 2,285)$ | (\$273) | \$0 | \$14,508 |
| Colusa | \$10,279 | $(\$ 2,340)$ | $(\$ 1,075)$ | (\$128) | \$0 | \$6,736 |
| Contra Costa | \$638,333 | $(\$ 60,861)$ | $(\$ 28,184)$ | $(\$ 3,365)$ | \$1,596 | \$547,519 |
| Del Norte | \$34,491 | \$0 | $(\$ 8,869)$ | $(\$ 1,059)$ | \$0 | \$24,563 |
| El Dorado | \$73,487 | $(\$ 9,363)$ | $(\$ 8,063)$ | (\$963) | \$1,053 | \$56,151 |
| Fresno | \$868,530 | (\$24,579) | $(\$ 61,272)$ | $(\$ 7,315)$ | \$160 | \$775,524 |
| Glenn | \$30,272 | $(\$ 2,340)$ | $(\$ 2,352)$ | (\$281) | \$0 | \$25,299 |
| Humboldt | \$88,174 | (\$4,682) | $(\$ 9,104)$ | $(\$ 1,087)$ | \$0 | \$73,301 |
| Imperial | \$35,589 | \$0 | $(\$ 8,197)$ | (\$979) | \$96 | \$26,509 |
| Inyo | \$20,656 | $(\$ 1,170)$ | (\$605) | (\$72) | \$0 | \$18,809 |
| Kern | \$563,399 | $(\$ 23,408)$ | $(\$ 66,915)$ | $(\$ 7,988)$ | \$0 | \$465,088 |
| Kings | \$66,960 | $(\$ 1,170)$ | $(\$ 9,776)$ | $(\$ 1,167)$ | \$447 | \$55,294 |
| Lake | \$35,684 | (\$7,023) | $(\$ 5,879)$ | (\$702) | \$0 | \$22,080 |
| Lassen | \$27,967 | \$0 | $(\$ 2,519)$ | (\$301) | \$0 | \$25,147 |
| Los Angeles * | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Madera | \$66,489 | $(\$ 7,023)$ | $(\$ 9,137)$ | $(\$ 1,091)$ | \$0 | \$49,238 |
| Marin | \$69,272 | $(\$ 23,408)$ | $(\$ 2,654)$ | (\$317) | \$0 | \$42,893 |
| Mariposa | \$8,750 | \$0 | (\$840) | (\$100) | \$0 | \$7,810 |
| Mendocino | \$91,896 | $(\$ 11,703)$ | $(\$ 8,768)$ | $(\$ 1,047)$ | \$479 | \$70,857 |
| Merced | \$140,465 | $(\$ 2,340)$ | (\$19,887) | $(\$ 2,374)$ | \$32 | \$115,896 |
| Modoc | \$4,354 | \$0 | (\$168) | (\$20) | \$0 | \$4,166 |
| Mono | \$6,700 | \$0 | (\$335) | (\$40) | \$0 | \$6,325 |
| Monterey | \$239,954 | $(\$ 15,215)$ | $(\$ 11,085)$ | $(\$ 1,323)$ | \$766 | \$213,097 |
| Napa | \$68,892 | $(\$ 3,511)$ | $(\$ 4,534)$ | (\$541) | \$0 | \$60,306 |
| Nevada | \$31,499 | $(\$ 1,170)$ | $(\$ 5,274)$ | (\$630) | \$0 | \$24,425 |
| Orange | \$1,114,242 | (\$251,637) | $(\$ 73,231)$ | $(\$ 8,742)$ | \$990 | \$781,622 |
| Placer | \$155,113 | $(\$ 14,045)$ | $(\$ 8,230)$ | (\$983) | \$32 | \$131,887 |
| Plumas | \$19,691 | \$0 | $(\$ 1,948)$ | (\$233) | \$0 | \$17,510 |
| Riverside | \$1,669,918 | $(\$ 97,143)$ | $(\$ 102,758)$ | $(\$ 12,267)$ | \$22,086 | \$1,479,836 |
| Sacramento | \$1,704,960 | $(\$ 127,573)$ | $(\$ 103,457)$ | $(\$ 12,349)$ | \$64 | \$1,461,645 |
| San Benito | \$16,024 | $(\$ 1,170)$ | $(\$ 2,318)$ | (\$277) | \$32 | \$12,291 |
| San Bernardino | \$1,392,340 | $(\$ 84,267)$ | (\$116,330) | $(\$ 13,887)$ | \$4,118 | \$1,181,974 |
| San Diego | \$1,740,493 | (\$256,315) | (\$261,447) | (\$31,211) | \$15,130 | \$1,206,650 |
| San Francisco | \$982,958 | $(\$ 17,556)$ | (\$37,960) | $(\$ 4,532)$ | \$1,692 | \$924,602 |
| San Joaquin | \$303,694 | $(\$ 22,237)$ | (\$40,546) | $(\$ 4,840)$ | \$4,565 | \$240,636 |
| San Luis Obispo | \$164,050 | $(\$ 3,511)$ | $(\$ 21,466)$ | $(\$ 2,563)$ | \$96 | \$136,606 |
| San Mateo | \$378,245 | $(\$ 49,156)$ | $(\$ 11,288)$ | $(\$ 1,348)$ | \$32 | \$316,485 |
| Santa Barbara | \$212,818 | $(\$ 7,023)$ | $(\$ 16,460)$ | $(\$ 1,965)$ | \$734 | \$188,104 |
| Santa Clara | \$986,496 | $(\$ 90,120)$ | (\$41,855) | (\$4,997) | \$192 | \$849,716 |
| Santa Cruz | \$212,183 | (\$3,511) | $(\$ 7,491)$ | (\$894) | \$1,181 | \$201,468 |
| Shasta | \$128,739 | (\$1,170) | $(\$ 18,946)$ | $(\$ 2,262)$ | \$32 | \$106,393 |
| Sierra | \$8,053 | \$0 | (\$268) | (\$32) | \$0 | \$7,753 |
| Siskiyou | \$28,028 | (\$2,340) | $(\$ 3,897)$ | (\$465) | \$0 | \$21,326 |
| Solano | \$220,924 | $(\$ 16,386)$ | $(\$ 11,152)$ | $(\$ 1,331)$ | \$192 | \$192,247 |
| Sonoma | \$149,564 | $(\$ 39,793)$ | (\$14,176) | $(\$ 1,692)$ | \$64 | \$93,967 |
| Stanislaus | \$253,667 | $(\$ 5,852)$ | (\$19,080) | $(\$ 2,278)$ | \$160 | \$226,617 |
| Sutter | \$49,129 | $(\$ 3,511)$ | $(\$ 4,905)$ | (\$586) | \$0 | \$40,127 |
| Tehama | \$53,865 | (\$1,170) | $(\$ 7,020)$ | (\$838) | \$0 | \$44,837 |
| Trinity | \$8,598 | \$0 | $(\$ 1,512)$ | (\$180) | \$0 | \$6,906 |
| Tulare | \$319,774 | $(\$ 2,340)$ | $(\$ 25,530)$ | $(\$ 3,048)$ | \$64 | \$288,920 |
| Tuolumne | \$36,703 | $(\$ 9,363)$ | $(\$ 3,091)$ | (\$369) | \$32 | \$23,912 |
| Ventura | \$344,441 | $(\$ 73,734)$ | $(\$ 21,095)$ | $(\$ 2,518)$ | \$1,851 | \$248,945 |
| Yolo | \$147,392 | $(\$ 17,556)$ | $(\$ 8,666)$ | $(\$ 1,035)$ | \$32 | \$120,167 |
| Yuba | \$71,885 | $(\$ 7,023)$ | $(\$ 3,627)$ | (\$433) | \$0 | \$60,802 |
| TOTAL | \$16,320,000 | (\$1,415,000) | (\$1,290,000) | (\$154,000) | \$58,000 | \$13,519,000 |

*The distribution for these two counties is displayed in the Title IV-E Waiver Section of this CFL.
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## Section G - Foster Care Assistance Subaccount

The FC Assistance Subaccount will be used to fund FC grants and services as described in statute and regulations, including the costs for Title IV-E Waiver. Attachment G-1 on page 61 displays the county specific distribution included in this subaccount. Please refer to Attachment I on page 62 for the Title IV-E Waiver related FC Assistance costs.

The county specific distribution was based on each county's actual FY 2010-11 expenditures as reported on the audited CA 800 for aid codes 40 and 42.
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ATTACHMENT G-1
FOSTER CARE ASSISTANCE SUBACCOUNT

| COUNTIES | FC PAYMENTS DISTRIBUTION | TITLEIV-E WAIVER FC ASSISTANCEONLY DISTRIBUTION | TOTAL FC ASSISTANCE DISTRIBUTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALAMEDA | \$0 | \$17,867,662 | \$17,867,662 |
| ALPINE | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| AMADOR | \$245,953 |  | \$245,953 |
| BUTTE | \$2,199,167 |  | \$2,199,167 |
| CALA VERAS | \$271,948 |  | \$271,948 |
| COLUSA | \$300,693 |  | \$300,693 |
| CONTRA COSTA | \$6,601,226 |  | \$6,601,226 |
| DEL NORTE | \$514,658 |  | \$514,658 |
| EL DORADO | \$1,215,576 |  | \$1,215,576 |
| FRESNO | \$9,241,693 |  | \$9,241,693 |
| GLENN | \$192,018 |  | \$192,018 |
| HUMBOLDT | \$1,571,687 |  | \$1,571,687 |
| IMPERIAL | \$1,488,539 |  | \$1,488,539 |
| INYO | \$219,623 |  | \$219,623 |
| KERN | \$10,831,446 |  | \$10,831,446 |
| KINGS | \$1,282,338 |  | \$1,282,338 |
| LAKE | \$892,442 |  | \$892,442 |
| LASSEN | \$929,610 |  | \$929,610 |
| LOS ANGELES | \$0 | \$130,813,552 | \$130,813,552 |
| MADERA | \$899,539 |  | \$899,539 |
| MARIN | \$1,284,318 |  | \$1,284,318 |
| MARIPOSA | \$363,102 |  | \$363,102 |
| MENDOCINO | \$2,066,367 |  | \$2,066,367 |
| MERCED | \$2,660,991 |  | \$2,660,991 |
| MODOC | \$107,416 |  | \$107,416 |
| MONO | \$134,679 |  | \$134,679 |
| MONTEREY | \$3,186,673 |  | \$3,186,673 |
| NAPA | \$1,405,778 |  | \$1,405,778 |
| NEVADA | \$607,666 |  | \$607,666 |
| ORANGE | \$15,807,669 |  | \$15,807,669 |
| PLACER | \$3,171,979 |  | \$3,171,979 |
| PLUMAS | \$467,335 |  | \$467,335 |
| RIVERSIDE | \$16,325,631 |  | \$16,325,631 |
| SACRAMENTO | \$15,456,329 |  | \$15,456,329 |
| SAN BENITO | \$264,230 |  | \$264,230 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | \$23,344,708 |  | \$23,344,708 |
| SAN DIEGO | \$19,776,640 |  | \$19,776,640 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | \$9,748,987 |  | \$9,748,987 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | \$7,645,384 |  | \$7,645,384 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | \$3,045,147 |  | \$3,045,147 |
| SAN MATEO | \$2,950,859 |  | \$2,950,859 |
| SANTA BARBARA | \$3,535,644 |  | \$3,535,644 |
| SANTA CLARA | \$11,616,725 |  | \$11,616,725 |
| SANTA CRUZ | \$2,352,911 |  | \$2,352,911 |
| SHASTA | \$2,042,971 |  | \$2,042,971 |
| SIERRA | \$50,202 |  | \$50,202 |
| SISKIYOU | \$515,828 |  | \$515,828 |
| SOLANO | \$2,018,884 |  | \$2,018,884 |
| SONOMA | \$4,948,085 |  | \$4,948,085 |
| STANISLAUS | \$2,867,459 |  | \$2,867,459 |
| SUTTER | \$727,719 |  | \$727,719 |
| TEHAMA | \$1,062,156 |  | \$1,062,156 |
| TRINITY | \$134,762 |  | \$134,762 |
| TULARE | \$2,915,994 |  | \$2,915,994 |
| TUOLUMNE | \$356,985 |  | \$356,985 |
| VENTURA | \$4,223,928 |  | \$4,223,928 |
| YOLO | \$1,414,865 |  | \$1,414,865 |
| YUBA | \$628,990 |  | \$628,990 |
| TOTAL | \$210,134,152 | \$148,681,214 | \$358,815,366 |


| SEPT 2011 |
| ---: |
| FC ASSISTANCE |
| \% age DISTRIBUIION |
|  |
| $4.97962565 \%$ |
| $0.0000000 \%$ |
| $0.06854584 \%$ |
| $0.61289655 \%$ |
| $0.07579051 \%$ |
| $0.08380160 \%$ |
| $1.83972779 \%$ |
| $0.14343254 \%$ |
| $0.33877479 \%$ |
| $2.57561238 \%$ |
| $0.05351443 \%$ |
| $0.43802110 \%$ |
| $0.41484818 \%$ |
| $0.06120780 \%$ |
| $3.01866838 \%$ |
| $0.35738102 \%$ |
| $0.24871900 \%$ |
| $0.25907753 \%$ |
| $36.45706522 \%$ |
| $0.25069690 \%$ |
| $0.35793283 \%$ |
| $0.10119466 \%$ |
| $0.57588587 \%$ |
| $0.74160453 \%$ |
| $0.02993629 \%$ |
| $0.03753435 \%$ |
| $0.88810940 \%$ |
| $0.39178311 \%$ |
| $0.16935339 \%$ |
| $4.40551618 \%$ |
| $0.88401426 \%$ |
| $0.13024386 \%$ |
| $4.54986953 \%$ |
| $4.30759952 \%$ |
| $0.07363954 \%$ |
| $6.50605025 \%$ |
| $5.51164802 \%$ |
| $2.71699262 \%$ |
| $2.13072926 \%$ |
| $0.84866683 \%$ |
| $0.82238925 \%$ |
| $0.98536583 \%$ |
| $3.23752161 \%$ |
| $0.65574421 \%$ |
| $0.56936553 \%$ |
| $0.01399104 \%$ |
| $0.14375861 \%$ |
| $0.56265260 \%$ |
| $1.37900588 \%$ |
| $0.79914610 \%$ |
| $0.20281155 \%$ |
| $0.29601742 \%$ |
| $0.03755748 \%$ |
| $0.81267255 \%$ |
| $0.09948989 \%$ |
| $1.17718704 \%$ |
| $0.39431561 \%$ |
| $0.17529629 \%$ |
| 100.000000000\% |
|  |

## Attachment I

## Title IV-E Child Welfare Waiver Demonstration Capped Allocation Project (CAP)

The Title IV-E Child Welfare Waiver Demonstration CAP was approved by the federal Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) on March 31, 2006, and implemented on July 1, 2007, provided flexibility in the use of Title IV-E funds for the provision of direct services for children and families. The five-year waiver demonstration has been approved by DHHS to operate through June 30, 2013.

For informational purposes only, Attachment l-a on page 64 displays the FY 2011-12 individual county allocation for the Waiver Base, FC 101 - Group Home Rate Increase, Carryover from FY 2008-09, Non-Base Waiver, and Non-Waiver activities. The federal Title XX funds displayed are transferred from the TANF Block Grant. Unless otherwise identified, all federal funds are Title IV-E (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance [CFDA] \#93658).

The Title IV-E Waiver funds were distributed as follows:

- Waiver Base - The federal base allocation is the county's average of Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2003 through FFY 2005 Title IV-E actual expenditures for administration and assistance with an annual growth of two percent beginning in FFY 2006. The GF for FC Assistance is a capped base allocation based on the actual expenditures for FY 2005-06, which will be shifted to county shares due to AB 118. For CWS related programs, CWS Basic, and FC Administration, the GF base allocation is based on the FY 2006-07 allocations with an annual growth of two percent beginning in FY 2007-08 and will be shifted to county shares as well. Participating counties are required to provide funding equal to the FY 2005-06 actual expenditures. The allocation includes FC assistance payments and CWS administration costs but excludes costs for training, licensing related activities, adoption administration and assistance, non-recurring adoption costs and reimbursements, evaluation, and Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS) costs.
- Non-Base Waiver - These are the costs for new program activities that are not included in the Waiver Base as well as some existing program activities not included in the Waiver Base, such as CWS Outcome Improvement Project funds. Some of these activities may be Title IV-E eligible; however, since the federal Title IV-E Waiver capped allocation cannot be increased; only the GF amount, which will be shifted to county shares due to AB 118, is provided for each of these activities. The funds for these activities were distributed to Alameda and Los Angeles Counties
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using the same methodology as the remaining 56 counties. Refer to the CWS and FC Administration sections on pages 14 and page 54, respectively, for additional information.

- Non-Waiver Allocation - These funds are for all other activities within FC

Administration and CWS that are excluded from the Waiver. These funds include non-Title IV-E activities as well as Title IV-E funded staff development, licensing, relative approvals, and SACWIS. The federal Title IV-E share of costs for these activities is not subject to a cap. The funds for these activities were distributed to all counties using the same methodology as in previous years. Due to AB 118, the GF will be shifted to county shares. Refer to the CWS and FC Administration sections on pages 14 and page 54, respectively, for additional information.

Attachment I-b on page 65 summarizes the Title IV-E Waiver funds distributed within the CWS, FC Administration, and FC Assistance subaccounts as displayed in Attachments E-1 (page 21), F-1 (page 57), G-1 (page 61).

While the subaccounts referenced within this CFL have been developed for all 58 counties, the two CAP counties, Alameda and Los Angeles, maintain their spending flexibility under the Waiver throughout the life of the project.

The expenditures associated with Title IV-E Waiver will continue to be claimed on the CEC and CA 800 FC assistance claim. Attachment I-C on page 66 provides a listing of the program codes.
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## ATTACHMENT I-a

FY 2011-12 TITLE IV-E CHILD WELFARE WAIVER DEMONSTRATION CAPPED ALLOCATION PROJECT
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## ATTACHMENT I-b

## CWS SUBACCOUNT **

|  | Title IV-E Waiver CWS Only Distribution |  | Title IV-E Waiver CWS Only Non-Waiver Distribution |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda | \$ | 21,709,903 | \$ | 2,575,080 |
| Los Angeles | \$ | 172,581,095 | \$ | 20,116,350 |
| Subaccount Totals | \$ | 194,290,998 | \$ | 22,691,430 |
| from Attachment E-1 Totals | \$ | 194,290,998 | \$ | 22,691,430 |
| FOSTER CARE ADMINISTRATION SUBACCOUNT ** |  |  |  |  |
|  | Title IV-E Waiver FC Admin Only Distribution |  | Title IV-E Waiver Alloc FC Only Non-Waiver Distribution |  |
| Alameda | \$ | 2,029,454 | \$ | 236,000 |
| Los Angeles | \$ | 12,846,334 | \$ | 2,619,000 |
| Subaccount Totals | \$ | 14,875,788 | \$ | 2,855,000 |
| from Attachment F-1 Totals | \$ | 14,875,788 | \$ | 2,855,000 |

FOSTER CARE ASSISTANCE SUBACCOUNT **
Title IV-E Waiver
FC Assistance Only Distribution
Alameda
Los Angeles
\$ 17,867,662

Subaccount Totals
$\$ \quad 130,813,552$
Subaccount Totals \$ 148,681,214
from Attachment G-1 Totals \$ 148,681,214
** The distribution is based on the General Fund calculation.

## ATTACHMENT I-c

## PROGRAM CODES CHARGED AGAINST THE FOLLOWING PROGRAMS

## CWS AUGMENTATION

122 SUO-CWS Augmentation GF

## CWS TITLE XX \& CWS AUGMENT TITLE XX

STATE USE ONLY:

| 120 | SUO - CWS Augmentation Title XX Fund |
| :--- | :--- |
| 173 | SUO - CWS-Title XX Funding |

CHILD WELFARE SERVICES OUTCOME IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (CWSOIP/COHORT 1)

| 037 | CWSOIP/Cohort 1 | 705 | SUO - CW SOIP - Probation (Overmatch) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 039 | CWSOIP/Cohort 1-nonfed |  |  |
| 059 | CWSOIP/nonfedSGF/Cohort |  |  |
| 703 | CWSOIP - Probation |  |  |
| 704 | CWSOIP - Probation Nonfederal |  |  |

## ATTACHMENT I-c (continued)



## PUBLIC AGENCY PASS-THROUGH

## Waiver Codes

119 Public Agency IV-E PassThrough

## PROBATION PASS-THROUGH

## Waiver Codes

| 127 | Prob IV-E Plan \& Mgmt |
| :---: | :--- |
| 128 | Probation IV-E/Pre-Placement |
| 129 | Probation IV-E Eligibility |

Non-Waiver Codes
130 Prob IV-E Training
131 Prob IV-ELicensing
576 Probation IV-E Training

# ATTACHMENT I-c (continued) 

## KINSHIP \& FOSTER CARE EMERGENCY FUND

| Waiver Codes |  |  | STATE USE ONLY: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 562 | Kinship \& FC Emergency Funding - Fed | 493 | SUO - Kinship/FC Emerg Funds |
| Non-Waiver Codes |  |  | STATE USE ONLY: |
|  |  | 563 | SUO - Kinship/FC Emerg Funds (Overmatch) |
| STATE FAMILY PRESERVATION (SFP) PERMANENT TRANSFER |  |  |  |
| Waiver Codes |  |  |  |
| 177 | FPP Case Mgt Preventive Services |  |  |
| 179 FPP Case Mgt Foster Care |  |  |  |
| Non-Waiver Codes |  |  | STATE USE ONLY: |
| 159 | FPP-SPMP | 501 | SUO - FPP (Overmatch) |
| 168 | FPP-Health Related |  |  |
| 175 | FPP-Services/NonFederal |  |  |

## IV-E FOSTER CARE ASSISTANCE FEDERAL AND NON-FEDERAL - WAIVER CODES

## FOSTER CARE FEDERAL

```
            42 Foster Care FMAP Rate
            42 Fed Adm Costs (FC1 Col E4) FFAs
    42 Non Fed. Admin Costs (FC1 Col F2) FFAs
    NONE THPP Rate Increase
    NONE Supplemental Clothing Allowance
    NONE IV-E Child Care
    NONE SB 163 Wraparound FC Assist
    NONE SB 163 Wraparound ADMIN
```


## FOSTER CARE NON-FEDERAL

40 Foster Care
NONE THPP Rate Increase
NONE Supplemental Clothing Allowance

## NON IV-E FOSTER CARE ASSISTANCE FEDERAL AND NON-FEDERAL - NON-WAIVER CODES

## FOSTER CARE FEDERAL

NONE Funeral Costs

## FOSTER CARE NON-FEDERAL

## 05 SED

NONE Funeral Costs

## ADOPTION

## Non-Waiver Codes

| 005 | Specialized Training for Adopt Parents | 191 | SUO - Adoptions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 117 | Adoptions - Case Management |  |  |
| 121 | Nonrecurring Adoption Exp. Reimb. Prog. |  |  |
| 123 | Adoptions/Fed Direct Costs |  |  |
| 132 | Probation IV-E/Adoptions |  |  |
| 230 | Adoptions Assistance IV-E |  |  |
| 567 | Adopt Indepen-Co Co Costs/NF |  |  |
| 568 | Adopt-Co Co Costs/Fed |  |  |

