

## Landmark Case quiz

### Question 1 (5 points)

Match each case to its description

#### Column A

1. related to whether or not state governments could deny a marriage license to same-sex couples (they said no)
2. related to affirmative action quotas and if race could be the single factor considered for admission/hiring (they said no)
3. related to whether or not a woman has a right to privacy (specifically if she, with her doctor, can choose an abortion)
4. related to whether or not citizen's free speech is limited in times of war etc (related to passing out anti-war leaflets and protesting - they said no)
5. related to whether or not state law could stop someone from expressing symbolic speech (in this case burning an American flag - they said no)

#### Column B

- a. Johnson v. Texas (5)
- b. Roe v. Wade (3)
- c. Schneck v. United States (4)
- d. Obergefell v. Hodges (1)
- e. University of California v. Bakke (2)

### Question 2 (5 points)

Match each case to the correct description below

#### Column A

1. related to interracial marriage and if states could prohibit this
2. related to whether or not evidence obtained without a search warrant could be admissible in court (they said no)
3. related to whether or not a confession obtained without informing a defendant of their rights could be used in court (they said no, you have to inform defendants of their rights)
4. related to first amendment rights and distribution of obscene material through the mail (lessened guidelines for obscenity and said it wasn't protected by first amendment)
5. related to whether or not the government can exercise prior restraint on the press (stop them from publishing materials - they said no, but there can be repercussions from publication)

#### Column B

- a. Miranda v. Arizona (3)
- b. Near v. Minnesota (5)
- c. Loving v. Virginia (1)
- d. Miller v. California (4)
- e. Mapp v. Ohio (2)

Question 3 (4 points)

Match each case to the correct description

Column A

1. related to the right to counsel (should ability to pay mean you forfeit your right? they said no)
2. related to the right to privacy within a marriage - specifically whether a married couple should be able to use contraception
3. related to whether or not the government can restrict/deny rights of citizens during times of war - specifically was Internment Constitutional
4. related to whether or not the government can use public funding for religious schools - they said no, it results in excessive entanglement

Column B

- a. Gideon v. Wainwright (1)
- b. Lemon v. Kurtzman (4)
- c. Griswold v. Connecticut (2)
- d. Korematsu v. United States (3)

Question 4 (4 points)

Match each court case to the correct description

Column A

1. related to student free speech within the school environment (can a student get in trouble for speech that may be disruptive?)
2. related to businesses having the right to deny the health care coverage that conflicts with their religious beliefs (related specifically to contraception in this case)
3. related to whether a public school can hold prayers during the school day (they said no)
4. related to whether or not a company can exclude pregnancy related disability from disability insurance (they said they could)

Column B

- a. Engel v. Vitale (3)
- b. Bethel v. Fraser (1)
- c. General Electric v. Gilbert (4)
- d. Burwell v. Hobby Lobby (2)